Understanding the large transverse momentum spectrum in SIDIS

Nobuo Sato
University of Connecticut
Seminar at COMPASS
CERN, 2018

Kinematic regions of SIDIS

Semi inclusive deep inelastic scattering (SIDIS)

Lab frame



Breit frame



- Process is dominated by one photon exchange with large virtuality $Q^2 \gg \lambda_{\mathrm{QCD}}$
- **Key question** : How is $p_h^{\perp}$ generated at short distances?

Semi inclusive deep inelastic scattering (SIDIS)

$$\frac{d\sigma}{dx\ dy\ d\Psi\ dz\ d\phi_h\ dP_{hT}^2} = \frac{\alpha^2}{xyQ^2}\frac{y^2}{2(1-\varepsilon)}\left(1+\frac{\gamma^2}{2x}\right)\sum_{i=1}^{18} F_i(x,z,Q^2,P_{hT}^2)\beta_i$$

F_i	Standard label	β_i
F_1	$F_{UU,T}$	1
F_2	$F_{UU,L}$	ε
F_3	F_{LL}	$S_{\|\|}\lambda_e\sqrt{1-\varepsilon^2}$
F_4	$F_{UT}^{\sin(\phi_h+\phi_S)}$	$\|\vec{S}_\perp\|\varepsilon\sin(\phi_h+\phi_S)$
F_5	$F_{UT,T}^{\sin(\phi_h-\phi_S)}$	$\|\vec{S}_\perp\|\sin(\phi_h-\phi_S)$
F_6	$F_{UT,L}^{\sin(\phi_h-\phi_S)}$	$\|\vec{S}_\perp\|\varepsilon\sin(\phi_h-\phi_S)$
F_7	$F_{UU}^{\cos 2\phi_h}$	$\varepsilon\ \cos(2\phi_h)$
F_8	$F_{UT}^{\sin(3\phi_h-\psi_S)}$	$\|\vec{S}_\perp\|\varepsilon\sin(3\phi_h-\phi_S)$
F_9	$F_{LT}^{\cos(\phi_h-\phi_S)}$	$\|\vec{S}_\perp\|\lambda_e\sqrt{1-\varepsilon^2}\cos(\phi_h-\phi_S)$
F_{10}	$F_{UL}^{\sin\ 2\phi_h}$	$S_{\|\|}\varepsilon\sin(2\phi_h)$
F_{11}	$F_{LT}^{\cos\phi_S}$	$\|\vec{S}_\perp\|\lambda_e\sqrt{2\varepsilon(1-\varepsilon)}\cos\phi_S$
F_{12}	$F_{LL}^{\cos\phi_h}$	$S_{\|\|}\lambda_e\sqrt{2\varepsilon(1-\varepsilon)}\cos\phi_h$
F_{13}	$F_{LT}^{\cos(2\phi_h-\phi_S)}$	$\|\vec{S}_\perp\|\lambda_e\sqrt{2\varepsilon(1-\varepsilon)}\cos(2\phi_h-\phi_S)$
F_{14}	$F_{UL}^{\sin\phi_h}$	$S_{\|\|}\sqrt{2\varepsilon(1+\varepsilon)}\sin\phi_h$
F_{15}	$F_{LU}^{\sin\phi_h}$	$\lambda_e\sqrt{2\varepsilon(1-\varepsilon)}\sin\phi_h$
F_{16}	$F_{UU}^{\cos\phi_h}$	$\sqrt{2\varepsilon(1+\varepsilon)}\ \cos\phi_h$
F_{17}	$F_{UT}^{\sin\phi_S}$	$\|\vec{S}_\perp\|\sqrt{2\varepsilon(1+\varepsilon)}\sin\phi_S$
F_{18}	$F_{UT}^{\sin(2\phi_h-\phi_S)}$	$\|\vec{S}_\perp\|\sqrt{2\varepsilon(1+\varepsilon)}\sin(2\phi_h-\phi_S)$



Bacchetta et al (2007)

Kinematic regions



- **Different regions** are sensitive to distinct physical mechanisms

Rapidity (M. Boglione, J. Collins, L. Gamberg, J.O. Gonzalez-Hernandez, T.C. Rogers, NS)



- Collinearlity

$$R \equiv P_h \cdot k_f / P_h \cdot k_i$$

- R is **indicator** of kinematic regions where TMD factorization is applicable
- **Do not use it for cuts!!**

Rapidity (R. Dempsey, W. Melnitchouk, T.C. Rogers, M. Diefenthaler, NS)





- SIDIS rapidity distribution is expected to display two peaks
- Using pythia8+DIRE we estimate the distance between the peaks as a function of $W^2 = (P + q)^2$
- Pythia **not reliable** at low energies $\rightarrow$ need to find experimentally the rapidity separation

Supported by JLab LDRD

Theory of current fragmentation

Theory framework for current fragmentation

small transverse momentum W



large transverse momentum FO



Theory framework for current fragmentation

small transverse momentum

W



matching region

ASY

large transverse momentum

FO



Theory framework for current fragmentation

- The formulation of is based on a scale separation governed by the ratio

$$q_{\mathrm{T}}/Q$$

- where

$$z = \frac{P \cdot p_h}{P \cdot q}, \qquad q_{\mathrm{T}} = p_h^{\perp}/z$$

- The cross section is built as

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{d\sigma}{dx dQ^2 dz dp_h^{\perp}} &= \mathrm{W} + \mathrm{FO} - \mathrm{ASY} + \mathcal{O}(m^2/Q^2) \\ &\sim \mathrm{W} \quad \text{for } q_{\mathrm{T}} \ll Q \\ &\sim \mathrm{FO} \quad \text{for } q_{\mathrm{T}} \sim Q\end{aligned}$$

Why $q_{\rm T}/Q$? (J. Gonzalez-Hernandes, T.C Rogers, NS, B. Wang)



- Lets define

$$k \equiv k_1 - q$$

- Propagators in the blob

$$\frac{1}{k^2 + O(\Lambda^2_{\rm QCD})}, \qquad \frac{1}{k^2 + O(Q^2)}$$

- Two extreme regions
  - $|k^2| \sim \Lambda^2_{\rm QCD} \to k$ is part of PDF
  - $|k^2| \sim Q^2 \to k$ is part of hard blob



- $|k^2|/Q^2$ is the relevant Lorentz invariant measure of transverse momentum size

Why q_{T}/Q ? (J. Gonzalez-Hernandes, T.C Rogers, NS, B. Wang)

- In terms of partonic variables

$$\left|\frac{k^2}{Q^2}\right| = (1-\hat{z}) + \hat{z}\frac{q_{\mathrm{T}}^2}{Q^2}$$

- For $q_T < Q$ one can write

$$\frac{q_{\mathrm{T}}}{Q^2} < \left|\frac{k^2}{Q^2}\right| < 1 - z\left(1 - \frac{q_{\mathrm{T}}^2}{Q^2}\right)$$

- One can conclude that
  - $q_{\mathrm{T}} \ll Q$ signals the onset of TMD region
  - $q_{\mathrm{T}} \sim Q$ signals the large transverse momentum region

Phenomenology

Existing phenomenology



Anselmino et al



Bacchetta et al

- These analyzes used only W (Gaussian, CSS)
- Samples with $q_{\mathrm{T}}/Q \sim 1.63$ has been included
- **BUT TMDs are only valid for $q_{\mathrm{T}}/Q \ll 1$!**

Large p_{T} SIDIS phenomenology

- At LO:

$$\frac{d\sigma}{dx dQ^2 dz dp_{\mathrm{T}}} \sim \sum_q e_q^2 \int_{\frac{q_{\mathrm{T}}^2}{Q^2}\frac{xz}{1-z}+x}^{1} \frac{d\xi}{\xi - x} f_q(\xi,\mu)\ d_q(\zeta(\xi),\mu)\ H(\xi)$$

- For collinear distributions we use
  - PDFs: CJ15
  - FFs: DSS07

COMPASS: $l + d \to l' + h^+ + X$



COMPASS: $l + d \to l' + h^+ + X$



HERMES: $l + p \to l' + \pi^+ + X$



HERMES: $l + p \to l' + \pi^+ + X$



The large p_{T} puzzle



- What are we missing?
- **perturtative parts** : power corrections, threshold corrections
- **non-perturbative parts** : PDFs, FFs

The role of non perturbative input

- For p_{T} integrated @ LO:

$$\frac{d\sigma}{dx dQ^2 dz} \sim \sum_q e_q^2 f_q(x,\mu)\ d_q(z,\mu)$$

- For p_{T} differential @ LO:

$$\frac{d\sigma}{dx dQ^2 dz dp_{\mathrm{T}}} \sim \sum_q e_q^2 \int_{\frac{q_{\mathrm{T}}^2}{Q^2}\frac{xz}{1-z}+x}^{1} \frac{d\xi}{\xi - x} f_q(\xi,\mu)\ d_q(\zeta(\xi),\mu)\ H(\xi)$$

- Note:

- gluon PDFs/FFs **are involved** in p_{T} differential but not in the integrated case
- For p_{T} differential, the q_{T} factor in the integrand provides point-by-point in q_{T} constraints on PDF/FF
- The p_{T} spectrum is **very sensitive** to the shape of PDF/FF

Revisiting charged hadron FFs (in JAM)

Revisiting charged hadron FFs (in JAM)

- Data sets:
  - SIDIS(h^+, h^-) q_{T} integrated data from COMPASS
  - $e^+e^- \to h^\pm + X$ (work with the $0.2 < z < 0.8$ samples)
  - PDFs: JAM18 (more on this at the end)

- Extracted FFs:



- The gluon fragmentation is significantly different $\to$ recently observed by the NNPDF

Revisiting charged hadron FFs (in JAM)



$$\chi^2/\text{npts} = 0.53$$

Revisiting charged hadron FFs (in JAM)



$\chi^2/\text{npts} = 0.48$

New predictions for the SIDIS q_{T} spectrum

Old predictions (DSS07) @ LO



New predictions (JAM18) @ LO



New predictions (JAM18) @ NLO (DDS)



Lessons

- It is possible to restore the predictive power of pQCD for the SIDIS large p_{T} by retunning the FFs
- Conversely the large q_{T} SIDIS spectrum can be used constrain more accurately FFs in particular the gluon
- These results opens up the possibility to for the first time start the TMD phenomenology within the full $W + Y$

Summary and outlook

$$\frac{d\sigma}{dx\ dy\ d\Psi\ dz\ d\phi_h\ dP_{hT}^2} = \frac{\alpha^2}{xyQ^2}\frac{y^2}{2(1-\varepsilon)}\left(1+\frac{\gamma^2}{2x}\right)\sum_{i=1}^{18} F_i(x,z,Q^2,P_{hT}^2)\beta_i$$

F_i	Standard label	β_i
F_1	$F_{UU,T}$	1
F_2	$F_{UU,L}$	ε
F_3	F_{LL}	$S_{\|\|}\lambda_e\sqrt{1-\varepsilon^2}$
F_4	$F_{UT}^{\sin(\phi_h+\phi_S)}$	$\|\vec{S}_\perp\|\varepsilon\sin(\phi_h+\phi_S)$
F_5	$F_{UT,T}^{\sin(\phi_h-\phi_S)}$	$\|\vec{S}_\perp\|\sin(\phi_h-\phi_S)$
F_6	$F_{UT,L}^{\sin(\phi_h-\phi_S)}$	$\|\vec{S}_\perp\|\varepsilon\sin(\phi_h-\phi_S)$
F_7	$F_{UU}^{\cos 2\phi_h}$	$\varepsilon\ \cos(2\phi_h)$
F_8	$F_{UT}^{\sin(3\phi_h-\psi_S)}$	$\|\vec{S}_\perp\|\varepsilon\sin(3\phi_h-\phi_S)$
F_9	$F_{LT}^{\cos(\phi_h-\phi_S)}$	$\|\vec{S}_\perp\|\lambda_e\sqrt{1-\varepsilon^2}\cos(\phi_h-\phi_S)$
F_{10}	$F_{UL}^{\sin\ 2\phi_h}$	$S_{\|\|}\varepsilon\sin(2\phi_h)$
F_{11}	$F_{LT}^{\cos\phi_S}$	$\|\vec{S}_\perp\|\lambda_e\sqrt{2\varepsilon(1-\varepsilon)}\cos\phi_S$
F_{12}	$F_{LL}^{\cos\phi_h}$	$S_{\|\|}\lambda_e\sqrt{2\varepsilon(1-\varepsilon)}\cos\phi_h$
F_{13}	$F_{LT}^{\cos(2\phi_h-\phi_S)}$	$\|\vec{S}_\perp\|\lambda_e\sqrt{2\varepsilon(1-\varepsilon)}\cos(2\phi_h-\phi_S)$
F_{14}	$F_{UL}^{\sin\phi_h}$	$S_{\|\|}\sqrt{2\varepsilon(1+\varepsilon)}\sin\phi_h$
F_{15}	$F_{LU}^{\sin\phi_h}$	$\lambda_e\sqrt{2\varepsilon(1-\varepsilon)}\sin\phi_h$
F_{16}	$F_{UU}^{\cos\phi_h}$	$\sqrt{2\varepsilon(1+\varepsilon)}\ \cos\phi_h$
F_{17}	$F_{UT}^{\sin\phi_S}$	$\|\vec{S}_\perp\|\sqrt{2\varepsilon(1+\varepsilon)}\sin\phi_S$
F_{18}	$F_{UT}^{\sin(2\phi_h-\phi_S)}$	$\|\vec{S}_\perp\|\sqrt{2\varepsilon(1+\varepsilon)}\sin(2\phi_h-\phi_S)$

- The apparent disagreement between data and FO can be resolved by tunning FFs
- It provides for the first time the possibility to describe F_{UU} in the full $\mathrm{W}+\mathrm{FO}-\mathrm{ASY}$
- This is important as all the structure functions that are typically provided in a form of asymmetries $A_i = F_i/F_{UU}$