Deeply Virtual Exclusive Vector-Meson Production at COMPASS



Andrzej Sandacz

National Centre for Nuclear Research, Warsaw on behalf of the COMPASS Collaboration



Towards improved hadron femtography

with hard exclusive reactions

University of Virginia Tech, Blacksburg, VA, USA, July 18 - 22, 2022

Contents

- Introduction
- Generalised Parton Distributions
- TTS asymmetries for exclusive ρ^0 and ω production
- SDMEs for exclusive ρ^0 and ω production
- Summary and outlook

Introduction

Hard exclusive meson I $l \ N \rightarrow l' N' M$ in one 'Hard' \equiv high virtuality Q^2 of γ^*	eptoproduction (HEMP) -photon-approx. $\gamma^* N \rightarrow N'M$ or large mass of <i>M</i> (Quarkonia)
HEMP convenient tool for studying	 mechanism of reaction structure of the nucleon
Two approaches to describe HEMP	 color-dipol model (for VMs) color-dipol interaction with nucleon described either by Regge phenomenology or by pQCD GPD models (for VMs and PMs)

for a review cf. L. Favart, M. Guidal, T. Horn, P. Kroll, Eur. Phys. J. A 52, 158 (2016)

Generalised Parton Distributions (GPDs)

- Provide comprehensive description of 3-D partonic structure of the nucleon one of the central problems of non-perturbative QCD
- GPDs can be viewed as correlation functions between different partonic states
- 'Generalised' because they encompass 1-D descriptions by PDFs or by form factors

(the simplest) example: Deeply Virtual Compton Scattering (DVCS)



Factorisation for large $Q^{\mathbf{2}}$ and $\mid \mathbf{t} \mid << Q^{\mathbf{2}}$

4 GPDs for each quark flavour

$$H^{q}(x,\xi,t) \qquad E^{q}(x,\xi,t) \\ \tilde{H}^{q}(x,\xi,t) \qquad \tilde{E}^{q}(x,\xi,t)$$



for DVCS gluons contribute at higher orders in $\alpha_{\!s}$

GPDs and Hard Exclusive Meson Production



Factorisation proven only for $\sigma_{\rm L}$ $\sigma_{\rm T}$ suppressed by $1/Q^2$

wave function of meson (DA) additional non-perturbative term Chiral-even GPDs helicity of parton unchanged

 $H^{q,g}(x,\xi,t) \qquad E^{q,g}(x,\xi,t) \\ \widetilde{H}^{q,g}(x,\xi,t) \qquad \widetilde{E}^{q,g}(x,\xi,t)$

Chiral-odd GPDs helicity of parton changed (not probed by DVCS)

$$H^q_T(x,\xi,t) = E^q_T(x,\xi,t)$$

 $\widetilde{H}^q_T(x,\xi,t) = \widetilde{E}^q_T(x,\xi,t)$

Flavour separation for GPDs example:

$$E_{\rho^{0}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(\frac{2}{3} E^{u(+)} + \frac{1}{3} E^{d(+)} + \frac{3}{4} E^{g} / x \right)$$

$$E_{\omega} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(\frac{2}{3} E^{u(+)} - \frac{1}{3} E^{d(+)} + \frac{1}{4} E^{g} / x \right)$$

$$E_{\phi} = -\frac{1}{3} E^{s(+)} + \frac{1}{4} E^{g} / x$$

Diehl, Vinnikov
PLB, 2005

- contribution from gluons at the same order of $\alpha_{\rm s}$ as from quarks

July 19 2022

Transverse target spin asymmetries for exclusive ρ^0 and ω production

From scattering 160 GeV*c* polarised μ^+ beam ($P \approx -80$ %) off the COMPASS polarised target



Spin-dependent cross section for exclusive meson leptoproduction

$$\begin{split} & \left[\frac{\alpha_{em}}{8\pi^{3}}\frac{y^{2}}{1-\epsilon}\frac{1-x_{Bj}}{x_{Bj}}\frac{1}{Q^{2}}\right]^{-1}\frac{d\sigma}{dx_{Bj}dQ^{2}dtd\phi d\phi_{s}} \\ & = \underbrace{\frac{1}{2}\left(\sigma_{++}^{++}+\sigma_{++}^{--}\right)+\varepsilon\sigma_{00}^{++}-\varepsilon\cos(2\phi)\operatorname{Re}\sigma_{+-}^{++}-\sqrt{\varepsilon(1+\varepsilon)}\cos\phi\operatorname{Re}\left(\sigma_{+0}^{++}+\sigma_{+0}^{--}\right)\right)} \\ & -P_{\ell}\sqrt{\varepsilon(1-\varepsilon)}\sin\phi\operatorname{Im}\left(\sigma_{+0}^{++}+\sigma_{+0}^{--}\right) \\ & -S_{L}\left[\varepsilon\sin(2\phi)\operatorname{Im}\sigma_{+-}^{++}+\sqrt{\varepsilon(1+\varepsilon)}\sin\phi\operatorname{Im}\left(\sigma_{+0}^{++}-\sigma_{+0}^{--}\right)\right] \\ & +S_{L}P_{\ell}\left[\sqrt{1-\varepsilon^{2}}\frac{1}{2}\left(\sigma_{++}^{++}-\sigma_{+-}^{--}\right)-\sqrt{\varepsilon(1-\varepsilon)}\cos\phi\operatorname{Re}\left(\sigma_{+0}^{++}-\sigma_{+0}^{--}\right)\right] \\ & -S_{T}\left[\sin(\phi-\phi_{S})\operatorname{Im}\left(\sigma_{+-}^{++}+\varepsilon\sigma_{00}^{+-}\right)+\frac{\varepsilon}{2}\sin(\phi+\phi_{S})\operatorname{Im}\sigma_{+-}^{++}+\frac{\varepsilon}{2}\sin(3\phi-\phi_{S})\operatorname{Im}\sigma_{++}^{++}\right) \\ & +\sqrt{\varepsilon(1+\varepsilon)}\sin\phi\operatorname{S}\left(\operatorname{Im}\sigma_{+0}^{+-}\right)+\sqrt{\varepsilon(1+\varepsilon)}\sin(2\phi-\phi_{S})\operatorname{Im}\sigma_{+0}^{-+}\right) \\ & +S_{T}P_{\ell}\left[\sqrt{1-\varepsilon^{2}}\cos(\phi-\phi_{S})\operatorname{Re}\sigma_{++}^{++}\right) \\ & -\sqrt{\varepsilon(1-\varepsilon)}\cos\phi\operatorname{S}\operatorname{Re}\sigma_{+0}^{+-}-\sqrt{\varepsilon(1-\varepsilon)}\cos(2\phi-\phi_{S})\operatorname{Re}\sigma_{+0}^{-+}\right]. \end{split}$$

$$\sigma_{\mu\sigma}^{\nu\lambda} = \sum \mathcal{M}_{\mu'\nu',\mu\nu}^{*} \mathcal{M}_{\mu'\nu',\sigma\lambda} \qquad \mathcal{M} \text{ amplitude for } \gamma^{*} p \to V p^{*}$$

Azimuthal TTS asymmetries of cross section for exclusive meson leptoproduction



 $\sigma_0 - \text{`unpolarised cross section'}$ $\sigma_0 = \frac{1}{2}(\sigma_{++}^{++} + \sigma_{++}^{--}) + \varepsilon \sigma_{00}^{++} = \sigma_T + \varepsilon \sigma_L$

Transverse target spin asymmetries for exlusive ρ^0 production on p^{\uparrow}

 $\langle x_{Bj} \rangle = 0.039, \langle Q^2 \rangle = 2.0 \text{ GeV}^2$ $\langle p_T^2 \rangle = 0.18 \text{ GeV}^2, \langle W \rangle = 8.1 \text{ GeV}^2$ PLB 731 (2014) 19 $- \operatorname{Im}(\sigma_{++}^{+-} + \varepsilon \sigma_{00}^{+-}) / \sigma_0 \propto -\operatorname{Im} \left[\varepsilon \mathscr{E}_{LL}^* \mathscr{H}_{LL} + \mathscr{E}_{TT}^* \mathscr{H}_{TT} - \frac{1}{2} \overline{\mathscr{E}}_{T,LT}^* \mathscr{H}_{T,LT} \right],$ $A_{\rm UT}^{\sin{(\phi-\phi_s)}}$ $- \operatorname{Im}(\sigma_{+-}^{+-})/\sigma_0 \propto \operatorname{Im}\left[\overline{\mathscr{E}}_{T,LT}^* \,\mathscr{H}_{T,LL}\right],$ $A_{\rm UT}^{\sin{(\phi + \phi_s)}}$ $A_{\rm UT}^{\sin{(2\phi-\phi_s)}}$ $- \operatorname{Im}(\sigma_{+-}^{-+})/\sigma_0 \propto -\operatorname{Im}\left[\overline{\mathscr{E}}_{T,LT}^* \mathscr{E}_{LL}\right],$ $- \ln(\sigma_{\perp 0}^{+-})/\sigma_0 = 0,$ $A_{\rm UT}^{\sin{(3\phi-\phi_{\rm S})}}$ $= \operatorname{Im}(\sigma_{+0}^{-+})/\sigma_0 \propto \operatorname{Im}\left[\mathscr{H}_{T,LT}^* \mathscr{H}_{LL} - \overline{\mathscr{E}}_{T,LT}^* \mathscr{E}_{LL}\right],$ 5 single spin asymmetries $A_{\rm UT}^{\sin\phi_{\rm S}}$ $A_{\rm LT}^{\cos{(\phi-\phi_{\rm S})}}$ $\operatorname{\mathsf{Re}}(\sigma_{++}^{+-})/\sigma_0 \propto \operatorname{\mathsf{Re}}\left[\overline{\mathscr{E}}_{T,LT}^* \,\mathscr{H}_{T,LT} - 2\mathscr{E}_{TT}^* \,\widetilde{\mathscr{H}}_{TT}\right],$ $A_{\rm LT}^{\cos{(2\phi-\phi_{\rm s})}}$ $-\operatorname{Re}(\sigma_{+0}^{+-})/\sigma_0 \propto \operatorname{Re}\left[\overline{\mathscr{E}}_{T,LT}^* \mathscr{H}_{T,LT} - 2\mathscr{E}_{TT}^* \widetilde{\mathscr{H}}_{TT}\right],$ $= \operatorname{Re}(\sigma_{+0}^{-+})/\sigma_0 \propto \operatorname{Re}\left[\mathscr{H}_{T,LT}^* \mathscr{H}_{LL} - \overline{\mathscr{E}}_{T,LT}^* \mathscr{E}_{LL}\right].$ $A_{\rm LT}^{\cos\phi_{\rm S}}$ 3 double spin asymmetries 0.2 $\sim E$, $\sim H$, $\sim H_T$, $\sim \overline{E}_T = 2\widetilde{H}_T - E_T$, $\sim H$. -0.2 -0.1 0.1 0 $\langle A \rangle$

asymmetries small, compatible with 0, except

 $A_{UT}^{\sin\varphi_s} = -0.019 \pm 0.008 \pm 0.003$

indication of transversity GPD H_T contribution

July 19 2022

Andrzej Sandacz, Virginia Tech

 $H_{\rm T}({\rm x},\,0,\,0)={\rm h_1}({\rm x})$

Transverse target spin asymmetries for exlusive ρ^0 production on p^{\uparrow}



Single spin asymmetries

- predictions of GPD model of Goloskokov-Kroll
- reasonable agreement with GK model (also for not-shown double spin asym.)

 $\begin{array}{c} sin(\phi - \phi_{s}) \\ A_{UT} \\ \end{array} \begin{array}{c} contains twist-2 terms \\ depending on E^{q,g} \\ \end{array} \end{array}$

its small values due to approximate cancellation of contributions from E^u and E^d, E^u ≈ -E^d

larger effects expected for exclusive



Andrzej Sandacz, Virginia Tech

11

Azimuthal asymmetries for exlusive () production on p[↑]



Single spin asymmetries

Nucl. Phys. B 915 (2017) 454



no clear preference for any version

Comparison to HERMES asymmetries for ω production on p^



✓ Note: contribution of pion pole decreases with W

- each experiment to be compared to corresp. predictions

 \checkmark COMPASS uncertainties smaller by a factor > 2

within large errors combined HERMES data compatible with all 3 scenarios

Measurements at JLab12 EPJ A48 (2012) 187 expected to resolve the issue of $\pi\omega$ transition form factor Prospects to separate GPDs E_u and E_d from TTS asymmetries

Section in PhD thesis of P. Sznajder, Warsaw 2015

In the framework of GK model an attempt to constrain $L^{u \ val}$ and $L^{d \ val}$ using COMPASS $A_{UT}^{sin(\phi - \phi_s)}$ for exclusive ρ^0 and ω production

- \bigcirc -L^{u val} \approx L^{d val} > 0 (as expected)
- \odot adding ω result reduces allowed region in ($L^{u \text{ val}}$, $L^{d \text{ val}}$) space
- ⊗ constraints are rather weak

due to limited statistics of COMPASS ω sample (1/40 of that of $\rho^{0})$

A promissing alternative method

Future combined analysis of TTS asymmetries for exclusive ρ^0 production

on transversely polarised protons ⁽¹⁾ and deuterons ⁽²⁾

(1) existing measurements and

(2) expected results from one-year data taking in 2022

SDMEs for exclusive ρ^0 and ω production on unpolarised protons

From scattering 160 GeV*c* polarised μ^+ and μ^- beams ($P \approx -80$ % and + 80 %, respectively) off the 1.2 m-long liquid hydrogen target

Vector meson spin-density matrix



- $\rho_{\lambda_V \lambda'_V} \text{ decomposes into nine matrices } \rho^{\alpha}_{\lambda_V \lambda'_V} \text{ corresponding to different photon polarisation states}$ $\alpha = 0 - 3 - \text{ transv., } 4 - \text{ long,, } 5 - 8 - \text{ interf.}$
- when contributions from transverse and longitudinal photons cannot be separeted

following SDMEs are introduced (K.Schilling and K. Wolf, NP B 61 (1973) 381)

July 19 2022

Andrzej Sandacz, Virginia Tech

16

Access to helicity amplitudes allows:

>test of s-channel helicity conservation ($\lambda_{\gamma} = \lambda_{V}$)

>quantify the role of transitions with helicity flip

Decomposition into Natural (N) Parity and Unnatural (U) Parity exchange amplitudes

$$F_{\lambda_{V}\lambda_{N}^{\prime}\lambda_{\gamma}\lambda_{N}} = T_{\lambda_{V}\lambda_{N}^{\prime}\lambda_{\gamma}\lambda_{N}} + U_{\lambda_{V}\lambda_{N}^{\prime}\lambda_{\gamma}\lambda_{N}}$$

• in Regge framework NPE: $J^P = (0^+, 1^-, ...)$ (pomeron, ρ , ω , a_2 ... reggeons) UPE: $J^P = (0^-, 1^+, ...)$ (π , a_1 , b_1 ... reggeons)

➤tests of GPD models

• e.g. for SCHC-violating transitions $\gamma_T \rightarrow V_L$ test sensitivity to GPDs with exchanged-quark helicity flip (transversity GPDs)

>determination of the longitudinal-to-transverse cross-section ratio

Data and selected samples

- Data collected within four weeks in 2012 pilot run
- Data with polarised ($|P| \approx 0.8$) μ^+ and μ^- beams taken separately
- Two parallel analyses:

(i)
$$\mu p \to \mu' p' \rho^0$$

 $\longrightarrow \pi^+ \pi^-$ BR $\approx 99\%$.

(ii)
$$\mu p \to \mu' p' \omega$$

 $\downarrow \to \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$ BR $\approx 89\%$
 $\downarrow \to \gamma\gamma$ BR $\approx 99\%$.

- (i) preliminary results (first shown at DIS 2021)
- (ii) published EPJC **81**,126 (2021)

Selection of exclusive ρ^0 sample for SDMEs analysis



Experimental access to SDMEs

$$W^{U+L}(\Phi,\phi,\cos\Theta) = W^U(\Phi,\phi,\cos\Theta) + P_B W^L(\Phi,\phi,\cos\Theta) \propto$$

SDMEs: "amplitudes" of decomposition of W^{U+L} in the sum of 23 terms with different angular dependences

[K. Schilling and G. Wolf, Nucl. Phys. B61, 381 (1973)]

15 unpolarised SDMEs (in W^U) and 8 polarised (in W^L)

Extraction of SDMEs

- Unbinned ML fit to experimental W^{U+L} taking into account
 - total acceptance
 - fraction of background in the signal window
 - anglar distribution of background W^{U+L}_{bkg} (determined either from LEPTO MC or from real data side band)



 $d\sigma$

for ω : angle Θ between direction of ω and normal to decay plane



Results on SDMEs for exclusive ρ^0 production for total kin. range

 $\begin{array}{l} 1 \ {\rm GeV^2 < Q^2} & < 10 \ {\rm GeV^2} \\ 5 \ {\rm GeV} < W & < 17 \ {\rm GeV} \\ 0.01 \ {\rm GeV^2} < {\rm p_T^2} < 0.5 \ {\rm GeV^2} \end{array}$

 $< Q^{2} > = 2.4 \text{ GeV}^{2}$ < W > = 9.9 GeV $< p_{T}^{2} > = 0.18 \text{ GeV}^{2}$

- SDMEs grouped in clasess: A, B, C, D, E corresponding to different helicity transitions
- SDMEs coupled to the beam polarisation shown within green areas

if SCHC holds all elements in classes C, D, E should be 0

not obeyed for class C transitions $\gamma^*_{\ T} \! \rightarrow \rho_L$



July 19 2022

Transitions $\gamma^*_{T} \rightarrow \rho_{L}$

possible GPD interpretation Goloskokov and Kroll, EPJC 74 (2014) 2725

contribution of amplitudes depending on chiral-odd ("transversity") GPDs $H_T, \overline{E}_T = 2\tilde{H}_T + E_T$

Re r_{10}^{04} C: $\gamma_{T}^{*} \rightarrow \rho_{L}^{0}$ $\gamma^{*(+)}$ m V(0)Re r_{10}^1 example $Im r_{10}^2$ graph for amplitude F_{0-++} r⁵₀₀ r¹₀₀ $Im r_{10}^3$ p(+-0.3 -0.2 0.2 -0.1 0.1 0.3 0.4 -0 0.5 0.6 SDME value • $r_{00}^5 \propto \operatorname{Re}[\langle \overline{E}_T \rangle_{LT}^* \langle H \rangle_{LL} + \frac{1}{2} \langle H_T \rangle_{LT}^* \langle E \rangle_{LL}]$ Goloskokov and Kroll, ref. above interplay of interference of transversity GPDs H_T , $\overline{E}_T = 2\widetilde{H}_T + E_T$ with GPDs E and Hfor ρ^0 the first term in Eq. (•) dominates, thus r_{00}^5 essentially probes \overline{E}_{τ} **COMPASS** preliminary 0.4 0.4 C: r_{00} 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.1 0 0 15 2 10 0 0.2 0.4 0.6 5 0 $Q^2 (\text{GeV}/c)^2$ $p_T^2 (\text{GeV}/c)^2$ $W (\text{GeV}/c^2)$ July 19 2022 Andrzej Sandacz, Virginia Tech 22

COMPASS preliminary

Results on SDMEs for exclusive ω production for total kin. range



EPJC **81**,126 (2021)

 $\begin{array}{l} 1 \ {\rm GeV^2 < Q^2} \ < 10 \ {\rm GeV^2} \\ 5 \ {\rm GeV} < W \ < 17 \ {\rm GeV} \\ 0.01 \ {\rm GeV^2} < {\rm p_T^2} < 0.5 \ {\rm GeV^2} \end{array}$

 $< Q^2 > = 2.1 \text{ GeV}^2$ < W > = 7.6 GeV $< p_T^2 > = 0.16 \text{ GeV}^2$

GK model, EPJA 50 (2014) 146 (1st version) parameters constrained mostly by HERMES results for ρ^0 and ω

COMPASS provides new constraints for parameterisation of the model

• ρ^0 and ω results for class C complementary

 \overline{E}_T and H have the same signs for u and d quarks H_T and E have opposite signs for u and d quarks

for ω the first term in Eq. (•) still dominates, but sensitivity to $H_{\rm T}$ is enhanced compared to ρ^0

Unnatural parity exchange contribution



NPE-to-UPE asymmetry of cross sections

NPE-to-UPE asymmetry of cross sections for transitions $\gamma_T^* \rightarrow V_T$

$$P = \frac{d\sigma_T^N(\gamma_T^* \to V_T) - d\sigma_T^U(\gamma_T^* \to V_T)}{d\sigma_T^N(\gamma_T^* \to V_T) + d\sigma_T^U(\gamma_T^* \to V_T)} \approx \frac{2r_{1-1}^1}{1 - r_{00}^{04} - 2r_{1-1}^{04}}$$



July 19 2022

UPE and NPE contributions (contd.)

GPD interpretation Goloskokov and Kroll, EPJA 50 (2014) 146

UPE amplitudes depend on helicity GPDs $\widetilde{E}, \widetilde{H}$

the former supplemented by π^0 pole contribution treated as one-boson exchange



parameters constrained by HERMES SDMEs for ω (except the sign of $\pi\omega$ transition form factor)

> the pion pole contribution dominates UPE at small W and $p_{\rm T}{}^2$

> $\pi\omega$ transition form factor $(g_{\pi\omega})$ about **3 times larger** than $\pi\rho^0$ transition f.f. $(g_{\pi\rho})$: $g_{\pi\rho} \simeq \frac{e_u + e_d}{e_u - e_d} g_{\pi\omega}$

NPE amplitudes depend on GPDs H and E

NPE contribution for ρ^0 production about **3 times larger** than for ω production (for amplitudes) this factor 3 is due to the dominant contribution from gluons and sea quark GPDs while the contribution from valence quarks is about the same for ω and ρ^0 production

Thus on the cross section level *leaving aside other small conributions*

July 19 2022

► TTS asymmetries for hard exclusive ρ^0 and ω production small and compatible with 0 except $A_{UT}^{\sin\varphi_s} = -0.019 \pm 0.008 \pm 0.003$ for ρ^0 indication for chiral-odd ("transversity") GPD H_T from excl. ρ^0 prod.

> measured SDMEs in hard exclusive ρ^0 and ω muoproduction at W = 5 -17 GeV allow access to helicity amplitudes => constraints on GPD models

> SDMEs a sensitive tool to access subleading amplitudes (via interference)

> violatation of SCHC observed for transitions $\gamma^*_T \rightarrow V_L$

in GPD framework described by contribution of chiral-odd ("transversity") GPDs

- > large contribution of UPE transitions for ω , only a few % for ρ^0 in GK model described predominantly by the π^0 pole exchange
- > ongoing COMPASS analyses of exclusive production of ϕ , ω and J/ ψ using 2016+2017 data statistics ~ 10 times larger than from 2012
- > prospect to separate E_u and E_d contributions including 2022 COMPASS data for exclusive ρ^0 production off transversely polarised ⁶LiD target

Thank you for your attention

Spares

Selection of exclusive ω sample for SDMEs analysis

 $\mu p \longrightarrow \mu' \omega p'$ Topological selection: scattered muon + two hadrons with opposite charges + two neutral clusters in calorimeters **Recoil proton detector** not included in selections $1 < Q^2 < 10 \text{ GeV}/c^2$ $0.01 < p_T^2 < 0.5 (\text{GeV/c})^2$ $W > 5 \, \text{GeV}$ After all selections 0.1 < y < 0.9≈ 3 000 evts $E_{\rm miss} = \frac{(M_X^2 - M_\rho^2)}{(2M_o)} | /E_{\rm miss} / < 3 \,{\rm GeV}$ Events/(13.1 MeV/c²) 000 000 000 000 000 000 000 Events/(0.3 GeV) Events/(5.4 MeV/c²) 350 600 $f_{\rm bg} = 0.28$ 500 --Gauss --B-W background background 150 400 _sum 200 -sum 300 100 150 200 100 150 Ballanar 50 100 50F 0_5 ²⁵⁰ 300 *Μ*_{γγ}(MeV/*c*²) 100 150 200 15 20 *E*_{miss} (GeV) 0 5 10 20 750 800 900 650 700 850 M π+π-π⁰ (MeV/c²)

July 19 2022