Spin Density Matrix Elements in Exclusive Vector Meson Muoproduction at COMPASS

Andrzej Sandacz

National Centre for Nuclear Research, Warsaw

on behalf of the COMPASS Collaboration

ICNFP 2021

10th International Conference on New Frontiers in Physics

Kolymbari, Greece, August 23 - September 2, 2021

Contents

Introduction

Hard exclusive meson leptoproduction (HEMP)

$l\ N \rightarrow l'\ N'\ M$ in one-photon-approx. $\gamma^*\ N \rightarrow N'\ M$

'Hard' ≡ high virtuality Q^2 of γ^*, or large mass of M (Quarkonia)

HEMP convenient tool for studying
- mechanism of reaction
- structure of the nucleon

Two approaches to describe HEMP
- color-dipol model (for VMs)

  color-dipol interaction with nucleon described either by Regge phenomenology or by pQCD
- GPD models (for VMs and PMs)

Numerous results (13 publications) for ρ^0 production on *p*, *d* and ^3He

CORNELL, CLAS (x2), HERMES (x3), NMC, E665, H1 (x2), ZEUS (x3)

Measured $\sigma_T + \varepsilon\ \sigma_L$, σ_T, σ_L as functions of Q^2, W and *t*

In most cases the separation σ_T vs. σ_L by using 1D-angular distribution(s)

+ assumption of s-channe helcity conservation

for more cf. review by L. Favart, M. Guidal, T. Horn, P. Kroll in arXiv:1511.04535v2 (2018)

Only in 3 publications (HERMES, H1, ZEUS) + recently from COMPASS results on SDMEs obtained from the analysis of 3D-angular distributions

Vector meson spin-density matrix

helicity of vector meson *V*

helicities of virtual photon γ and nucleon *N*

photon spin density matrix ($\mu \rightarrow \mu+\gamma^*$); calculable on QED

$$\rho_{\lambda_V \lambda'_V} = \frac{1}{2\mathcal{N}} \sum_{\lambda_\gamma \lambda'_\gamma \lambda_N \lambda'_N} F_{\lambda_V \lambda'_N \lambda_\gamma \lambda_N} \varrho^{U+L}_{\lambda_\gamma \lambda'_\gamma} F^*_{\lambda'_V \lambda'_N \lambda'_\gamma \lambda_N}$$ (von Neuman)

F helicity amplitudes; describe transitions $\lambda_\gamma, \lambda_N \rightarrow \lambda_V, \lambda'_N$, depend on W, Q^2 and p_T

- $\rho_{\lambda_V \lambda'_V}$ decomposes into nine matrices $\rho^\alpha_{\lambda_V \lambda'_V}$ corresponding to different photon polarisation states

  α = 0 – 3 - transv., 4 - long,, 5 – 8 - interf.

- when contributions from transverse and longitudinal photons cannot be separeted

  following SDMEs are introduced (K.Schilling and K. Wolf, NP B 61 (1973) 381)

$$r^{04}_{\lambda_V \lambda'_V} = (\rho^0_{\lambda_V \lambda'_V} + \epsilon R \rho^4_{\lambda_V \lambda'_V})(1+\epsilon R)^{-1},$$

$$r^\alpha_{\lambda_V \lambda'_V} = \begin{cases} \rho^\alpha_{\lambda_V \lambda'_V}(1+\epsilon R)^{-1}, \ \alpha = 1,2,3, \\ \sqrt{R}\rho^\alpha_{\lambda_V \lambda'_V}(1+\epsilon R)^{-1}, \ \alpha = 5,6,7,8. \end{cases}$$

$R = \sigma_L / \sigma_T$

Vector meson spin-density matrix (2)

Access to helicity amplitudes allows:

- test of s-channel helicity conservation ($\lambda_\gamma = \lambda_V$)
- quantify the role of transitions with helicity flip
- decomposition into Natural (N) Parity and Unnatural (U) Parity exchange amplitudes

$$F_{\lambda_V \lambda'_N \lambda_\gamma \lambda_N} = T_{\lambda_V \lambda'_N \lambda_\gamma \lambda_N} + U_{\lambda_V \lambda'_N \lambda_\gamma \lambda_N}$$

  - in Regge framework NPE: $J^P = (0^+, 1^-, \ldots)$ (pomeron, ρ, ω, a_2 … reggeons)
    UPE: $J^P = (0^-, 1^+, \ldots)$ (π, a_1 , b_1… reggeons)
- tests of GPD models
  - e.g. for SCHC-violating transitions $\gamma_T \rightarrow V_L$ test sensitivity to GPDs with exchanged-quark helicity flip (transversity GPDs)
- determination of the longitudinal-to-transverse cross-section ratio

Generalised Parton Distributions (GPDs)

- Provide comprehensive description of 3-D partonic structure of the nucleon
  one of the central problems of non-perturbative QCD
- GPDs can be viewed as correlation functions between different partonic states
- ‘Generalised’ because they encompass 1-D descriptions by PDFs or by form factors

(the simplest) example: Deeply Virtual Compton Scattering (DVCS)



Factorisation for large Q^2 and | t | ‹‹ Q^2

4 GPDs for each **quark flavour**

$H^q(x,\xi,t)$	$E^q(x,\xi,t)$
$\tilde{H}^q(x,\xi,t)$	$\tilde{E}^q(x,\xi,t)$

for DVCS **gluons** contribute at higher orders in α_s



GPDs and Hard Exclusive Meson Production





- factorisation proven only for σ_L
  σ_T suppressed by $1/Q^2$
- wave function of meson (DA)
  additional non-perturbative term

Chiral-even GPDs
helicity of parton unchanged

$$H^{q,g}(x,\xi,t) \qquad E^{q,g}(x,\xi,t)$$
$$\tilde{H}^{q,g}(x,\xi,t) \qquad \tilde{E}^{q,g}(x,\xi,t)$$

Chiral-odd GPDs
helicity of parton changed (not probed by DVCS)

$$H_T^q(x,\xi,t) \qquad E_T^q(x,\xi,t)$$
$$\tilde{H}_T^q(x,\xi,t) \qquad \tilde{E}_T^q(x,\xi,t)$$

Flavour separation for GPDs
example:

$$E_{\rho^0} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{2}{3}E^{u(+)} + \frac{1}{3}E^{d(+)} + \frac{3}{4}E^g/x\right)$$
$$E_{\omega} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{2}{3}E^{u(+)} - \frac{1}{3}E^{d(+)} + \frac{1}{4}E^g/x\right)$$
$$E_{\phi} = -\frac{1}{3}E^{s(+)} + \frac{1}{4}E^g/x$$

Diehl, Vinnikov
PLB, 2005

- *contribution from gluons at the same order of α_s as from quarks*

COMPASS experimental setup

Basic ingredients:

❖ **unique secondary beam line M2 from the SPS**

delivers:
- high energy naturally polarised μ^+ or μ^- beams, P ≈ -80% / +80%
- negative or positive hadron beams



❖ **two-stage forward spectrometer SM1 + SM2**

≈ 300 tracking detectors planes – high redundancy **+ calorimetry, µID, RICH**

❖ **flexible target area** • for the reported results 2.5m long LH_2 target

Data and selected samples

- Data collected within four weeks in 2012 using 2,5 m long LH2 target
- Data with polarised ($|P| \approx 0.8$) μ^+ and μ^- beams taken separately
- Independent analyses of two samples:

(i) $\mu p \rightarrow \mu' p' \rho^0$

$\quad \hookrightarrow \pi^+\pi^- \qquad \text{BR} \approx 99\%.$

(ii) $\mu p \rightarrow \mu' p' \omega$

$\quad \hookrightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0 \qquad \text{BR} \approx 89\%$

$\qquad \hookrightarrow \gamma\gamma \qquad \text{BR} \approx 99\%.$

- Results for (i) preliminary (first shown at DIS 2021)
- Results for (ii) published in 2021 (EPJC **81**,126 (2021))

Selection of exclusive ρ^0 sample for SDMEs analysis

$\mu\, p \rightarrow \mu'\, \rho^0\, p'$
$\rho^0 \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^-$

Topological selection: scattered muon
+ two hadrons with opposite charges

$1 < Q^2 < 10$ GeV/c^2
$W > 5$ GeV
$0.01 < p_T^2 < 0.5$ (GeV/c)^2
$0.1 < y < 0.9$
$\nu > 20$ GeV
$|E_{miss}| < 2.5$ GeV

$$E_{miss} = \frac{(M_X^2 - M_p^2)}{(2M_p)}$$

After all selections and cuts
≈ 52 200 evts

Recoil proton detector
not included in selections





Selection of exclusive ω sample for SDMEs analysis

$\mu\, p \rightarrow \mu'\, \omega\, p'$

$\hookrightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$

$\hookrightarrow \gamma + \gamma$

Topological selection: scattered muon
+ two hadrons with opposite charges
+ two neutral clusters in calorimeters

Recoil proton detector
not included in selections

$1 < Q^2 < 10$ GeV/c^2

$0.01 < p_T^2 < 0.5$ (GeV/c)^2

$W > 5$ GeV

$0.1 < y < 0.9$

$|E_{miss}| < 3$ GeV

$$E_{miss} = \frac{(M_X^2 - M_p^2)}{(2M_p)}$$

After all selections
≈ 3 000 evts







Experimental access to SDMEs

$$W^{U+L}(\Phi,\phi,\cos\Theta) = W^{U}(\Phi,\phi,\cos\Theta) + P_B W^{L}(\Phi,\phi,\cos\Theta) \propto \frac{d\sigma}{d\Phi\, d\phi\, d\cos\Theta}$$

SDMEs: „amplitudes" of decomposition of W^{U+L} in the sum of 23 terms with different angular dependences

[K. Schilling and G. Wolf, Nucl. Phys. B61, 381 (1973)]

15 unpolarised SDMEs (in W^{U}) and 8 polarised (in W^{L})

for ρ^0



for ω: angle Θ between direction of ω and normal to decay plane

Extraction of SDMEs

- Unbinned ML fit to experimental W^{U+L} taking into account
  - total acceptance
  - fraction of background in the signal window
  - anglar distribution of background W^{U+L}_{bkg} (determined either from LEPTO MC or real data side band)



Results on SDMEs for exclusive ρ^0 production for total kin. range

$1\ GeV^2 < Q^2 < 10\ GeV^2$

$5\ GeV < W < 17\ GeV$

$0.01\ GeV^2 < p_T^2 < 0.5\ GeV^2$

$< Q^2 > = 2.4\ GeV^2$

$<W> = 9.9\ GeV$

$<p_T^2> = 0.18\ GeV^2$



- SDMEs grouped in clasess: A, B, C, D, E corresponding to different helicity transitions
- SDMEs coupled to the beam polarisation shown within green areas
- if SCHC holds all elements in classes C, D, E should be 0

not obeyed for transitions $\gamma^*_T \to \rho_L$

Transitions $\gamma^*_T \rightarrow \rho_L$

possible GPD interpretation **Goloskokov and Kroll, EPJC 74 (2014) 2725**

contribution of amplitudes depending on chiral-odd ("transversity") GPDs $H_T, \bar{E}_T = 2\tilde{H}_T + E_T$

example ➡

graph for amplitude $F_{0-,++}$





- $r^5_{00} \propto \mathrm{Re}[\langle \bar{E}_T \rangle^*_{LT} \langle H \rangle_{LL} + \frac{1}{2} \langle H_T \rangle^*_{LT} \langle E \rangle_{LL}]$ *Goloskokov and Kroll, ref. above*

interplay of interference of transversity GPDs $H_T, \bar{E}_T = 2\tilde{H}_T + E_T$ with GPDs E and H

for ρ^0 the first term in Eq. (●) dominates, thus r^5_{00} essentially probes $\bar{E}_T$



Results on SDMEs for exclusive ω production for total kin. range



1 GeV² < Q² < 10 GeV²

5 GeV < W < 17 GeV

0.01 GeV² < p_T^2 < 0.5 GeV²

< Q²> = 2.1 GeV²

<W> = 7.6 GeV

<p_T^2> = 0.16 GeV²

GK model, EPJA 50 (2014) 146 (1st version)

parameters constrained mostly by HERMES results for ρ^0 and ω

➢ COMPASS provides new constraints for parameterisation of the model

❖ <u>ρ^0 and ω results for class C complementary</u>

● $$r^5_{00} \propto \mathrm{Re}[\langle \overline{E}_T \rangle^*_{LT} \langle H \rangle_{LL} + \frac{1}{2} \langle H_T \rangle^*_{LT} \langle E \rangle_{LL}]$$

$\overline{E}_T$ and H have the same signs for *u* and *d* quarks

H_T and E have opposite signs for *u* and *d* quarks

$$< K >_{XY} = \begin{cases} \text{for } \rho^0 & < e_u K_u - e_d K_d + \ldots >_{XY} \\ \text{for } \omega & < e_u K_u + e_d K_d + \ldots >_{XY} \end{cases}$$

for ω the first term in Eq. (●) still dominates, but sensitivity to H_T is enhanced compared to ρ^0

Contribution of helicity-flip NPE amplitudes to ρ^0 cross section

quantified by the ratios $\tau_{ij} = \frac{|T_{ij}|}{\sqrt{\mathcal{N}}}$ calculated as combinations of SDMEs

cf. HERMES Collab., EPJC 63, 659 (2009)

T_{01}, T_{10} and T_{1-1} are the NPE amplitudes for the transitions $\gamma^*_T \to \rho^0_L$, $\gamma^*_L \to \rho^0_T$, $\gamma^*_T \to \rho^0_{-T}$

and $\mathcal{N}$ is a normalisation constant



- only τ_{01} significantly different from zero much smaller τ_{01} and τ_{01}
- pattern consistent with different degrees of SCHC violation in classes C, D and E
- increase of τ_{01} with increasing Q^2 and $p_T{}^2$

fractional contribution of helicity-flip NPE amplitudes to the full cross section

$$\tau^2_{\mathrm{NPE}} = (2\epsilon|T_{10}|^2 + |T_{01}|^2 + |T_{1-1}|^2)/\mathcal{N} \approx 2\epsilon\tau^2_{10} + \tau^2_{01} + \tau^2_{1-1}$$

≈ 0.03 averaged over total kinematic range

Unnatural parity exchange contribution

$$u_1 = 1 - r^{04}_{00} + 2r^{04}_{1-1} - 2r^{1}_{11} - 2r^{1}_{1-1}$$

$$u_1 = \widetilde{\sum} \frac{4\epsilon|U_{10}|^2 + 2|U_{11} + U_{-11}|^2}{\mathcal{N}}$$

numerator depends only on UPE amplitudes

$u1 > 0$ signature of UPE contribution

UPE fractional contribution to the cross section $\Delta_{UPE} = (2\epsilon|U_{10}|^2 + |U_{01}|^2 + |U_{1-1}|^2 + |U_{11}|^2)/\mathcal{N} \approx u_1/2$

ρ^0



- very small UPE contribution

$\Delta_{UPE} \approx 0.03$ *averaged*

ω



- large UPE contribution decreasing with increasing W
  still non-negligible even at W = 10 GeV/c^2

$\Delta_{UPE} \approx 0.5 \searrow 0.3$

NPE-to-UPE asymmetry of cross sections

NPE-to-UPE asymmetry of cross sections for transitions $\gamma_T^* \rightarrow V_T$

$$P = \frac{2r^1_{1-1}}{1 - r^{04}_{00} - 2r^{04}_{1-1}} \approx \frac{d\sigma_T^N(\gamma_T^* \rightarrow V_T) - d\sigma_T^U(\gamma_T^* \rightarrow V_T)}{d\sigma_T^N(\gamma_T^* \rightarrow V_T) + d\sigma_T^U(\gamma_T^* \rightarrow V_T)}$$

ρ^0



➢ dominance of NPE

ω



➢ UPE dominates at small *W* and p^2_T

averaged over kin. range
NPE ≈ UPE

UPE and NPE contributions (contd.)

GPD interpretation **Goloskokov and Kroll, EPJA 50 (2014) 146**

UPE amplitudes depend on helicity GPDs $\widetilde{E}, \widetilde{H}$

the former supplemented by π^0 pole contribution treated as one-boson exchange



parameters constrained by HERMES SDMEs for ω

(except the sign of πω transition form factor)

- the pion pole contribution dominates UPE at small *W* and p_T^2
- πω transition form factor ($g_{\pi\omega}$) about **3 times larger** than $\pi\rho^0$ transition f.f. ($g_{\pi\rho}$): $g_{\pi\rho} \simeq \frac{e_u + e_d}{e_u - e_d} g_{\pi\omega}$

NPE amplitudes depend on GPDs H and E

NPE contribution for ρ^0 production about **3 times larger** than for ω production (for amplitudes)

this factor 3 is due to the dominant contribution from gluons and sea quark GPDs

while the contribution from valence quarks is about the same for ω and ρ^0 production

Thus on the cross section level *leaving aside other small conributions*

$d\sigma_T^N \approx d\sigma_T^U$ for ω *P* asymmetry ≈ 0

$d\sigma_T^N \approx 9\, d\sigma_T^U$ for ρ^0 *P* asymmetry ≈ 1

Summary and outlook

- measured SDMEs in hard exclusive ρ^0 and ω muoproduction at energies 5 – 17 GeV
- access to helicity amplitudes => constraints on GPD models
- SDMEs a sensitive tool to access subleading amplitudes (via interference)
- violatation of SCHC observed for transitions $\gamma^*_T \rightarrow V_L$
  in GPD framework described by contribution of chiral-odd ”transversity” GPDs
- large contribution of UPE transitions for ω, only a few % for ρ^0
  in GK model described predominantly by the π^0 pole exchange
- planned analysis of SDMEs and cross sections for exclusive φ, ω and J/ψ production
  collected in 2016+2017 with statistic ~ 10 times larger than from 2012

Thank you