Diffractive Dissociation into $\pi^-\pi^-\pi^+$ Final States at COMPASS

Florian Haas

for the COMPASS Collaboration

Physik Department E18 - Technische Universität München

HADRON 2011, June 17th 2011

supported by:
Maier-Leibnitz-Labor der TU und LMU München,
Cluster of Excellence: Origin and Structure of the Universe, BMBF



Overview

Overview

Preface

Mesons within the Quarkmodell



Quantum Numbers

- $I^G J^{PC}$
- Isospin I
- G-Parity:
  $G = C \cdot e^{i\pi I_2}$
- LS-Coupling:
  $J = \ell \oplus s = |\ell - s| ... \ell + s$
- Parity:
  $P = (-1)^{(\ell+1)}$
- Charge Conjugation:
  $C = (-1)^{(\ell+s)}$

$J^{PC}(q\bar{q}) : 0^{++}, 0^{-+}, 1^{++}, 1^{+-}, 1^{--}, 2^{++}, 2^{-+}, 2^{--} ...$
exotic $J^{PC} : 0^{+-}, 1^{-+}, 2^{+-}$

The COMPASS Experiment
Overview

Overview

- **CO**mmon **M**uon and **P**roton **A**pparatus for **S**tructure and **S**pectroscopy [1]
- located at the CERN SPS
- two stage magnetic spectrometer
  → large angular acceptance
- data taking since 2002
  → up to 1 PByte/year



Tracking

- Silicons ($\sigma_x \sim 10\mu m$), SciFis ($\sigma_t \sim 0.4ns$)
- (Pixel)GEMs ($\sigma_x \sim 70\mu m$), MicroMegas ($\sigma_x \sim 90\mu m$)
- Drift Chambers ($\sigma_x \leq 200\mu m$)

[1] [Nucl. Instr. and Meth. A 577 (2007) 455]

Overview

Diffractive Dissociation into $\pi^-\pi^-\pi^+$ Final States

Diffractive Dissociation

- Soft scattering of the beam π^- off the target
  - Pb (2004, 2009)
  - lH_2 (2008)
  - W, Ni (2009)
- Target particle remains intact
- Pomeron exchange

Reaction







Invariant Mass of 3π System

$\pi^- Pb \to \pi^-\pi^-\pi^+ Pb$ (2004)



- $p_\pi = 190 GeV/c$
- 4M events (full t range)
- 450k events in $0.1 < t' < 1.0\ GeV^2/c^2$

$\pi^- p \to \pi^-\pi^-\pi^+ p$ (2008)



- $p_\pi = 190 GeV/c$
- $\sim$ 96M events in $0.1 < t' < 1.0\ GeV^2/c^2$

Partial Wave Analysis - Isobar Model

- Two-step approach:
  - Fit in mass bins

$$\sigma_{indep}(\tau, m, t') = \sum_{\epsilon=\pm1} \sum_{r=1}^{N_r} \left| \sum_i T^{\epsilon}_{ir} \psi^{\epsilon}_i(\tau, m) \right|$$

  - Fit of the spin density matrix

Isobar Model



Dalitz Plot $\pi_2(1670)$ region



$\pi^- Pb \to \pi^-\pi^-\pi^+ Pb$ (2004)

Intensities of Major Waves









$\pi^- p \to \pi^- \pi^- \pi^+ p$ (2008)
Intensities of Major Waves









$\pi^- Pb \to \pi^-\pi^-\pi^+ Pb$ (2004)
$J^{PC} = 1^{-+}$ Exotic Wave [1]







[1] A. Alekseev *et. al.*, COMPASS Collaboration, Phys. Rev. Lett. 104, 241803 (2010)

$\pi^- p \to \pi^- \pi^- \pi^+ p$ (2008) $J^{PC} = 1^{-+}$ Exotic Wave







$\pi^- p \to \pi^- \pi^- \pi^+ p$ (2008)

Additional Waves









Overview

Comparison of different Targets

- 2008 lH_2 target, 2009 Pb target (W, Ni not shown)
- Different statistics
  - 2008: $\sim$ 23M, 2009: $\sim$ 1.2M
  - Normalisation to the integral of the $a_2(1320)$ in the mass region between 1.1 GeV/c^2 and 1.6 GeV/c^2
- Population of M = 1 states higher for lead target
- Population of M = 0 states higher for hydrogen target

Total Intensities for $J^{PC} = 1^{++}$ with $M = 0$



$\pi^- p \longrightarrow \pi^- \pi^- \pi^+ p$ (2008)



$\pi^- Pb \longrightarrow \pi^- \pi^- \pi^+ Pb$ (2009)

Total Intensities for $J^{PC} = 1^{++}$ with $M = 1$



$\pi^- p \longrightarrow \pi^- \pi^- \pi^+ p$ (2008)



$\pi^- Pb \longrightarrow \pi^- \pi^- \pi^+ Pb$ (2009)

Total Intensities for $J^{PC} = 1^{++}$

Sum of M sub-states



$\pi^- p \longrightarrow \pi^- \pi^- \pi^+ p$ (2008)



$\pi^- Pb \longrightarrow \pi^- \pi^- \pi^+ Pb$ (2009)

Overview

Conclusion and Outlook

- Pilot Run 2004
  - Diffractive pion dissociation on Pb into $\pi^-\pi^-\pi^+$
  - [1]Significant intensitiy of exotic wave 1^{-+} at 1.66 GeV/c^2
- COMPASS 2008/2009
  - Diffractive reactions: 10x BNL E852 statistics
  - Several different targets (p,Ni,W,Pb)
  - Consistency check with isospin partner channel $\pi^-\pi^0\pi^0$
    $\rightarrow$ see F. Nerling's talk
  - Analysis ongoing
    - Enhancement of wave set
    - Study of Deck Effect
    - Isobar parametrisations
    - Analysis of M-dependence
- Two independent PWA programs

[1] A. Alekseev *et. al.*, COMPASS Collaboration, Phys. Rev. Lett. 104, 241803 (2010)

Backup

COMPASS 2009 (Teaser)

- 190 GeV/c hadron beam $\rightarrow 96\%\pi^-, 3.5\%K^-, 0.5\%\bar{p}$
- Pb, W, Ni targets
- $0.1\text{GeV}^2/c^2 < t' < 1.0\text{GeV}^2/c^2$
- Pb target: $\sim$ **1.5M events**





Systematic Studies - 2004

- Comparison of rank 1, 2, 3 mass independent fits
- Different Exclusivity Cut (189 ± 3 or 5 GeV)
- $\pi_1(1400)$ added as second Breit-Wigner resonance to describe 1^{-+} wave, parameters of $\pi_1(1400)$ fixed to PDG values
- 46 waves in mass-independent fit with four M = 2 waves included, thresholds adjusted
- D-functions with relativistic factors instead of Zemach tensors used for mass-independent fit
- Dynamical width for $a_4(2040)$ used instead of constant one

$\pi^- p \to \pi^- \pi^- \pi^+ p$

Is the $2^{++}2^+$ real ?



Interesting Candidate



$\pi_1(1600)\ 1^-1^{-+}$

- $(2\pi)^0\pi^-$: $\rho\pi^-$, $f_2(1270)\pi^-$
- $(4\pi)^0\pi^-$: $b_1(1235)\pi^-$, $f_1(1285)\pi^-$
- $\eta'(958)\pi^-$

COMPASS has access to all of these decay modes

Wave Set of 2004 3π PWA

$J^{PC}M^{\epsilon}$	L	Isobar π	Thresh. [GeV]
$0^{-+}0^{+}$	S	$f_0\pi$	1.40
$0^{-+}0^{+}$	S	$(\pi\pi)_S\pi$	-
$0^{-+}0^{+}$	P	$\rho\pi$	-
$1^{-+}1^{+}$	P	$\rho\pi$	-
$1^{++}0^{+}$	S	$\rho\pi$	-
$1^{++}0^{+}$	P	$f_2\pi$	1.20
$1^{++}0^{+}$	P	$(\pi\pi)_S\pi$	0.84
$1^{++}0^{+}$	D	$\rho\pi$	1.30
$1^{++}1^{+}$	S	$\rho\pi$	-
$1^{++}1^{+}$	P	$f_2\pi$	1.40
$1^{++}1^{+}$	P	$(\pi\pi)_S\pi$	1.40
$1^{++}1^{+}$	D	$\rho\pi$	1.40
$2^{-+}0^{+}$	S	$f_2\pi$	1.20
$2^{-+}0^{+}$	P	$\rho\pi$	0.80
$2^{-+}0^{+}$	D	$f_2\pi$	1.50
$2^{-+}0^{+}$	D	$(\pi\pi)_S\pi$	0.80
$2^{-+}0^{+}$	F	$\rho\pi$	1.20
$2^{-+}1^{+}$	S	$f_2\pi$	1.20
$2^{-+}1^{+}$	P	$\rho\pi$	0.80
$2^{-+}1^{+}$	D	$f_2\pi$	1.50
$2^{-+}1^{+}$	D	$(\pi\pi)_S\pi$	1.20
$2^{-+}1^{+}$	F	$\rho\pi$	1.20

$J^{PC}M^{\epsilon}$	L	Isobar π	Thresh. [GeV]
$2^{++}1^{+}$	P	$f_2\pi$	1.50
$2^{++}1^{+}$	D	$\rho\pi$	-
$3^{++}0^{+}$	S	$\rho_3\pi$	1.50
$3^{++}0^{+}$	P	$f_2\pi$	1.20
$3^{++}0^{+}$	D	$\rho\pi$	1.50
$3^{++}1^{+}$	S	$\rho_3\pi$	1.50
$3^{++}1^{+}$	P	$f_2\pi$	1.20
$3^{++}1^{+}$	D	$\rho\pi$	1.50
$4^{-+}0^{+}$	F	$\rho\pi$	1.20
$4^{-+}1^{+}$	F	$\rho\pi$	1.20
$4^{++}1^{+}$	F	$f_2\pi$	1.60
$4^{++}1^{+}$	G	$\rho\pi$	1.64
$1^{-+}0^{-}$	P	$\rho\pi$	-
$1^{-+}1^{-}$	P	$\rho\pi$	-
$1^{++}1^{-}$	S	$\rho\pi$	-
$2^{-+}1^{-}$	S	$f_2\pi$	1.20
$2^{++}0^{-}$	P	$f_2\pi$	1.30
$2^{++}0^{-}$	D	$\rho\pi$	-
$2^{++}1^{-}$	P	$f_2\pi$	1.30
FLAT			

$a_1(1260)$ und $\pi_2(1670)$







- BW für $a_1(1260)$ + Untergrund
  $M = \left(1255 \pm 6^{+7}_{-17}\right)\text{MeV/c}^2$
  $\Gamma = \left(367 \pm 9^{+28}_{-25}\right)\text{MeV/c}^2$
- BW für $\pi_2(1670)$
  $M = \left(1658 \pm 3^{+24}_{-8}\right)\text{MeV/c}^2$
  $\Gamma = \left(271 \pm 9^{+22}_{-24}\right)\text{MeV/c}^2$

$a_2(1320)$





- Zwei Breit Wigner funktionen nötig um die Phasen Bewegung zu beschreiben
- BW1 für $a_2(1320)$
  $M = (1321 \pm 1^{+0}_{-7})\,\mathrm{MeV/c^2}$
  $\Gamma = (110 \pm 2^{+2}_{-25})\,\mathrm{MeV/c^2}$
- BW2 für $a_2(1700)$: $M = 1732\ \mathrm{MeV/c^2}, \Gamma = 194\ \mathrm{MeV/c^2}$ (feste PDG Werte)

$a_4(2040)$





- BW mit konstanter Breite für $a_4(2040)$(Verweigungsverhältnis unbekannt)
- BW Parameter
  $M = \left(1885 \pm 13^{+50}_{-2}\right)\text{MeV/c}^2$
  $\Gamma = \left(294 \pm 25^{+46}_{-19}\right)\text{MeV/c}^2$

$\pi(1800)$





- BW mit konstanter Breite für $\pi(1800)$ und Untergrund bei niedrigen Massen
- BW Parameter
  $M = \left(1785 \pm 9^{+12}_{-6}\right)\text{MeV/c}^2$
  $\Gamma = \left(208 \pm 22^{+21}_{-37}\right)\text{MeV/c}^2$

Motivation
Quantumchromodynamics

QuantumChromoDynamics predicts existence of meson states which are not foreseen within the quarkmodel:

Tetraquarks



- Two $q\bar{q}$ pairs
- Possible lightest candidates: $f_0(600)$, $f_0(980)$, $a_0(980)$

Glueballs



- Consists only of glue
- Lattice gauge theory: groundstate 0^{++}, first excited state 2^{++}
- Mixing with nearby $q\bar{q}$ states of same quantum numbers: $f_0(1370)$, $f_0(1500)$, $f_0(1710)$

Hybrids



- $q\bar{q}$ pair bound by excited gluons, $q\bar{q}g$
- Lightest hybrid, $J^{PC} = 1^{-+}$, predicted in the mass region of 1.3-2.2 GeV/c^2

Acceptance

COMPASS



- $p_\pi = 190 GeV/c$
- 4M events (full t range)
- 450k events in $0.1 < t' < 1.0\ GeV^2/c^2$

BNL E852



- $p_\pi = 18 GeV/c$
- 250k events in $0.08 < t' < 1.0\ GeV^2/c^2$

Acceptance

COMPASS



COMPASS: Flat acceptance

- $p_\pi = 190 GeV/c$
- 4M events (full t range)
- 450k events in $0.1 < t' < 1.0\ GeV^2/c^2$

BNL E852



- $p_\pi = 18 GeV/c$
- 250k events in $0.08 < t' < 1.0\ GeV^2/c^2$

Spectrometer Upgrade 2008



Beam Particle Identification



Liquid Hydrogen Target - Proton Recoil Detector





Additional Detectors





Electromagnetic Calorimeter









Acceptance

$\pi^-\pi^-\pi^+$ mass



$\pi^-\pi^+$ mass



$\cos\theta_{GJ}$



ϕ_{TY}



$\cos\theta_{helicity}$



$\phi_{helicity}$



Mass-Independent PWA

- Illinois/Protvino/Munich Program used.
- Enhanced wave set (53 partial waves).
- 20 MeV/c^2 mass bins.
- 30 fits per mass bin.
- D-Functions instead of Zemach-Tensors for parametrisation of decay amplitudes.
- Same mass range as for 2004 data: 0.5-2.5 GeV/c^2.

Überblick



Resonance	Mass (MeV/c^2)	Width (MeV/c^2)	Intensity (%)	Channel $J^{PC}M^{\epsilon}[\mathrm{isobar}]L$
$a_1(1260)$	$1255 \pm 6^{+7}_{-17}$	$367 \pm 9^{+28}_{-25}$	$67 \pm 3^{+4}_{-20}$	$1^{++}0^{+}\,\rho\pi\,S$
$a_2(1320)$	$1321 \pm 1^{+0}_{-7}$	$110 \pm 2^{+2}_{-15}$	$19.2 \pm 0.6^{+0.3}_{-2.2}$	$2^{++}1^{+}\,\rho\pi\,D$
$\pi_1(1600)$	$1660 \pm 10^{+0}_{-64}$	$269 \pm 21^{+42}_{-64}$	$1.7 \pm 0.2^{+0.9}_{-0.1}$	$1^{-+}1^{+}\,\rho\pi\,P$
$\pi_2(1670)$	$1658 \pm 3^{+24}_{-8}$	$271 \pm 9^{+22}_{-24}$	$10.0 \pm 0.4^{+0.7}_{-0.7}$	$2^{-+}0^{+}\,f_2\pi\,S$
$\pi(1800)$	$1785 \pm 9^{+12}_{-6}$	$208 \pm 22^{+21}_{-37}$	$0.8 \pm 0.1^{+0.3}_{-0.1}$	$0^{-+}0^{+}\,f_0\pi\,S$
$a_4(2040)$	$1885 \pm 13^{+50}_{-2}$	$294 \pm 25^{+46}_{-19}$	$1.0 \pm 0.3^{+0.1}_{-0.1}$	$4^{++}1^{+}\,\rho\pi\,G$

$J^{PC} = 1^{-+}$ Exotic Wave







$J^{PC} = 1^{-+}$ Exotic Wave



BW parameter[1] for $\pi_1(1600)$

- $M = \left(1660 \pm 10^{+0}_{-64}\right)\text{MeV/c}^2$
- $\Gamma = \left(269 \pm 21^{+42}_{-64}\right)\text{MeV/c}^2$

[1] A. Alekseev *et. al.*, COMPASS Collaboration, Phys. Rev. Lett. 104, 241803 (2010)

PWA Technique

Step 1: Mass-Independent PWA

- Independent fits in 40 MeV mass bins

$$\sigma_{indep}(\tau, m, t') = \sum_{\epsilon=\pm 1} \sum_{r=1}^{N_r} \left| \sum_i T_{ir}^{\epsilon} f_i^{\epsilon}(t') \psi_i^{\epsilon}(\tau, m) / \sqrt{\int \left| \psi_i^{\epsilon}(\tau', m) \right|^2 d\tau'} \right|^2$$

- Production amplitudes T_{ir}^{ϵ} $\longrightarrow$ extended maximum likelihood fit
- Decay amplitudes $\psi_i^{\epsilon}(\tau, m)$ (Zemach tensors)
- 41 partial waves $i = J^{PC} M^{\epsilon} [isobar] L$ + flat background
  - isobars: $(\pi\pi)_S$, $\rho(770)$, $f_0(980)$, $f_2(1270)$, $\rho_3(1690)$
  - 7 negative reflectivity waves included
  - more M=1 waves than previous (e.g. BNL E852) analyses

Step 2: Mass-Dependent χ^2 fit

- 6 waves
- Parameterized by Breit-Wigner
- Coherent background for some waves