Likelihood Methods for Beam Particle Identification at the COMPASS Experiment

Tobias Weisrock
for the COMPASS Collaboration

Graduate School Symmetry Breaking
Johannes Gutenberg-Universität Mainz

SPIN-Praha-2012
July 7,2012

bmb+f - Förderschwerpunkt
COMPASS
Großgeräte der physikalischen Grundlagenforschung

JG|U JOHANNES GUTENBERG UNIVERSITÄT MAINZ

Symmetry Breaking

COMPASS

Outline

The COMPASS Experiment

- **CO**mmon **M**uon and **P**roton **A**pparatus for **S**tructure and **S**pectroscopy
- Located at SPS at CERN



The COMPASS Experiment

- **CO**mmon **M**uon and **P**roton **A**pparatus for **S**tructure and **S**pectroscopy
- Located at SPS at CERN



The COMPASS Experiment

- **CO**mmon **M**uon and **P**roton **A**pparatus for **S**tructure and **S**pectroscopy
- Located at SPS at CERN



The COMPASS Experiment

- **CO**mmon **M**uon and **P**roton **A**pparatus for **S**tructure and **S**pectroscopy
- Located at SPS at CERN



The COMPASS Experiment

- **CO**mmon **M**uon and **P**roton **A**pparatus for **S**tructure and **S**pectroscopy
- Located at SPS at CERN



Hadron Physics @ COMPASS

- Very broad program

Hadron Physics @ COMPASS

- Very broad program
- Spectroscopy
  - Different final states
  - $\mathbf{3\pi, 5\pi, K\pi\pi}$,...
  - Search for exotic states

Hadron Physics @ COMPASS

- Very broad program
- Spectroscopy
  - Different final states
  - $3\pi, 5\pi, K\pi\pi, \ldots$
  - Search for exotic states
- Primakoff program
  - $\pi p \rightarrow \pi\gamma p$
  - Measure pion/kaon polarizabilities

Hadron Physics @ COMPASS

- Very broad program
- Spectroscopy
  - Different final states
  - $3\pi, 5\pi, K\pi\pi, \ldots$
  - Search for exotic states
- Primakoff program
  - $\pi p \rightarrow \pi\gamma p$
  - Measure pion/kaon polarizabilities

Example: $K\pi\pi$ analysis

Analysis of diffractive dissociation of K^- into $K^-\pi^+\pi^-$ on a liquid hydrogen target at the COMPASS spectrometer, PhD Thesis P. Jasinski (JGU Mainz)

Event Selection

- Incoming kaon
- Primary vertex in target with 3 outgoing charged tracks
- Four momentum transfer $\mathbf{0.07 < t'[GeV^2/c^2] < 0.7}$
- One negative particle identified with RICH





Partial Wave Analysis

- disentangle $\mathbf{K}\pi\pi$ spectrum
- several resonances found
- further investigation needed (mass dependent fits)



Partial Wave Analysis

- disentangle $\mathbf{K}\pi\pi$ spectrum
- several resonances found
- further investigation needed (mass dependent fits)



J^P	mass (GeV/c^2)	possible state
0^-	1.30	K(1460)
1^+	1.25	$K_1(1270)$
1^+	1.35	$K_1(1400)$
1^+	1.80	$K_1(1650)$
1^-	1.75	$K^*(1680)$
2^+	1.44	$K_2^*(1430)$
2^-	1.70	$K_2(1770)$
2^-	1.85	$K_2(1820)$
2^-	1.9 – 2.2	several

Partial Wave Analysis

- disentangle $\mathbf{K}\pi\pi$ spectrum
- several resonances found
- further investigation needed (mass dependent fits)



J^P	mass (GeV/c^2)	possible state
0^-	1.30	K(1460)
1^+	1.25	$K_1(1270)$
1^+	1.35	$K_1(1400)$
1^+	1.80	$K_1(1650)$
1^-	1.75	$K^*(1680)$
2^+	1.44	$K_2^*(1430)$
2^-	1.70	$K_2(1770)$
2^-	1.85	$K_2(1820)$
2^-	1.9 – 2.2	several

Only ≈ 50% of kaons used due to bad efficiency of beam particle identification.

Beam Particle Identification @ COMPASS

- Two CEDAR detectors
- **30 m** upstream of the target

How does a CEDAR work?

- CEDAR = ČErenkov Differential counters with Acromatic Ring focus
- Fast charged particles emit Čerenkov light with angle $\mathbf{cos(\theta) = \frac{1}{n\beta}}$



How does a CEDAR work?

- Čerenkov light detected with 8 PMTs
- Particle identification using multiplicities, e.g. 6 of 8 PMTs



Influence of Beam Divergence

- beam tuned to traverse full spectrometer
- beam is not parallel in the CEDAR region

Influence of Beam Divergence

- beam tuned to traverse full spectrometer
- beam is not parallel in the CEDAR region



Influence of Beam Divergence

- beam tuned to traverse full spectrometer
- beam is not parallel in the CEDAR region



- Kaon ring leaves acceptance, pion ring enters
- Multiplicity method does not work for divergent beams

Influence of Beam Divergence

- beam tuned to traverse full spectrometer
- beam is not parallel in the CEDAR region



- Kaon ring leaves acceptance, pion ring enters
- Multiplicity method does not work for divergent beams

↷ Find a method which takes divergence into account

General Idea

- Look at response of single PMTs for kaon and pion seperately
- Take care of beam divergence
- Identify beam particles using likelihoods

General Idea

- Look at response of single PMTs for kaon and pion seperately
- Take care of beam divergence
- Identify beam particles using likelihoods

5 steps to take

1. Measure beam divergence
2. Create a pure kaonsample and a pure pionsample
3. Determine probabilities to have hits in PMTs for pion and kaon
4. Calculate likelihoods from probabilities
5. Use likelihoods to identify particles

Step 1: Measure beam divergence

- Measure beam position in front of $(\mathbf{x_1}, \mathbf{y_1})$ and behind $(\mathbf{x_2}, \mathbf{y_2})$ CEDARs
- Calculate relative displacement $\mathbf{\Delta_x} = \frac{\mathbf{x_2} - \mathbf{x_1}}{\mathbf{1283{,}4\,cm}}$
- Divergence $\theta_\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{arctan}(\mathbf{\Delta_x}) \approx \mathbf{\Delta_x}$







Step 2: Create a pure Kaonsample and a pure Pionsample

Create a Kaonsample and a Pionsample

- Kaonsample

→ Use free Kaon decay $\mathbf{K^- \rightarrow \pi^-\pi^-\pi^+}$

  - 3 outgoing particles with correct charged
  - Primary vertex outside of the target
  - Cut on transverse momentum and Kaon mass

- Pionsample

→ Use diffractive production $\mathbf{\pi^- p \rightarrow \pi^-\pi^-\pi^+ p}$

  - 3 outgoing particles with correct charge
  - Primary vertex inside the target
  - Small angle to beam direction, similar momenta → same mass

In addition: Take a beamsample without any filtering for testing the method

Step 3: Determine probabilities to have hits in PMTs for π and $\mathbf{K}$

Example: Particle with divergence θ_x, θ_y produces signal in PMT $\mathbf{i}$
Question: Is it a kaon?

$\rightarrow$ Use Bayes' Theorem:

$$\mathbf{P}^{\mathbf{i}}_{\theta_x,\theta_y}(\text{Kaon}|\text{Signal}) = \frac{\cdot}{}$$

Here:

Step 3: Determine probabilities to have hits in PMTs for π and $\mathbf{K}$

Example: Particle with divergence θ_x, θ_y produces signal in PMT **i**
Question: Is it a kaon?

$\rightarrow$ Use Bayes' Theorem:

$$\mathbf{P}^{\mathbf{i}}_{\theta_x,\theta_y}(\text{Kaon}|\text{Signal}) = \frac{\mathbf{P}^{\mathbf{i}}_{\theta_x,\theta_y}(\text{Signal}|\text{Kaon}) \cdot}{}$$

Here:

$\mathbf{P}^{\mathbf{i}}_{\theta_x,\theta_y}(\text{Signal}|\text{Kaon})$: Probability that Kaon at θ_x, θ_y produces signal in PMT **i** ($\rightarrow$ Kaonsample)

Step 3: Determine probabilities to have hits in PMTs for π and $\mathbf{K}$

Example: Particle with divergence θ_x, θ_y produces signal in PMT $\mathbf{i}$
Question: Is it a kaon?

$\rightarrow$ Use Bayes' Theorem:

$$\mathbf{P}^{\mathbf{i}}_{\theta_x,\theta_y}(\text{Kaon}|\text{Signal}) = \frac{\mathbf{P}^{\mathbf{i}}_{\theta_x,\theta_y}(\text{Signal}|\text{Kaon}) \cdot \mathbf{P}_{\theta_x,\theta_y}(\text{Kaon})}{}$$

Here:

$\mathbf{P}^{\mathbf{i}}_{\theta_x,\theta_y}(\text{Signal}\|\text{Kaon})$:	Probability that Kaon at θ_x, θ_y produces signal in PMT $\mathbf{i}$	($\rightarrow$ Kaonsample)
$\mathbf{P}_{\theta_x,\theta_y}(\text{Kaon})$:	Probability that Kaon has divergence θ_x and θ_y	($\rightarrow$ Kaonsample)

Step 3: Determine probabilities to have hits in PMTs for π and **K**

Example: Particle with divergence θ_x, θ_y produces signal in PMT **i**
Question: Is it a kaon?

$\rightarrow$ Use Bayes' Theorem:

$$P^i_{\theta_x,\theta_y}(\text{Kaon}|\text{Signal}) = \frac{P^i_{\theta_x,\theta_y}(\text{Signal}|\text{Kaon}) \cdot P_{\theta_x,\theta_y}(\text{Kaon})}{P^i_{\theta_x,\theta_y}(\text{Signal})}$$

Here:

$P^i_{\theta_x,\theta_y}(\text{Signal}\|\text{Kaon})$:	Probability that Kaon at θ_x, θ_y produces signal in PMT **i**	($\rightarrow$ Kaonsample)
$P_{\theta_x,\theta_y}(\text{Kaon})$:	Probability that Kaon has divergence θ_x and θ_y	($\rightarrow$ Kaonsample)
$P^i_{\theta_x,\theta_y}(\text{Signal})$:	Probability that signal in PMT **i** ist produced at θ_x, θ_y	($\rightarrow$ Beamsample)

Step 3 continued

Pions and Kaons have the same divergence distribution:

$$\mathbf{P}_{\theta_x,\theta_y}(\text{Kaon}) = \mathbf{P}_{\theta_x,\theta_y}(\text{Pion}) = \mathbf{P}_{\theta_x,\theta_y}(\text{Beam})$$

$\Rightarrow \mathbf{P}^{\mathbf{i}}_{\theta_x,\theta_y}(\text{Kaon}|\text{Signal})$ and $\mathbf{P}^{\mathbf{i}}_{\theta_x,\theta_y}(\text{Pion}|\text{Signal})$ have same normalization

factor $\frac{\mathbf{P}_{\theta_x,\theta_y}(\text{Beam})}{\mathbf{P}^{\mathbf{i}}_{\theta_x,\theta_y}(\text{Signal})}$, thus

$$\mathbf{P}^{\mathbf{i}}_{\theta_x,\theta_y}(\text{Kaon}|\text{Signal}) \propto \mathbf{P}^{\mathbf{i}}_{\theta_x,\theta_y}(\text{Signal}|\text{Kaon})$$
$$\mathbf{P}^{\mathbf{i}}_{\theta_x,\theta_y}(\text{Pion}|\text{Signal}) \propto \mathbf{P}^{\mathbf{i}}_{\theta_x,\theta_y}(\text{Signal}|\text{Pion})$$

Also calculate

$$\mathbf{P}^{\mathbf{i}}_{\theta_x,\theta_y}(\text{Kaon}|\overline{\text{Signal}}) \text{ and } \mathbf{P}^{\mathbf{i}}_{\theta_x,\theta_y}(\text{Pion}|\overline{\text{Signal}})$$

Probability Distributions



Probability Distributions

Pions



Probability Distributions



Probability Distributions



Probability Distributions



Additional Cut

- Statistics bad for large divergences
- Cut out events with

$$r = \sqrt{\theta_x^2 + \theta_y^2} < 200 \times 10^{-6}$$

- About 20% of all events are lost this way



Step 4: Calculate likelihoods from probabilities

- To obtain the log likelihood just add logarithms of probabilities

$$\mathbf{\log L}(\text{Kaon}) = \sum_{\mathbf{i}\in\text{PMT with Signal}} \mathbf{\log P}^{\mathbf{i}}_{\theta_x,\theta_y}(\text{Kaon}|\text{Signal}) + \sum_{\mathbf{j}\in\text{PMT without Signal}} \mathbf{\log P}^{\mathbf{j}}_{\theta_x,\theta_y}(\text{Kaon}|\overline{\text{Signal}})$$

- Calculate the same for Pions.
- Compare them

Kaonsample


log L(K)
0
-2
-4
-6
-8
-10
-12
-14
-16
-18
-20
-20
-18
-16
-14
-12
-10
-8
-6
-4
-2
0
log L(π)
10^2
10
1

Pionsample



log L(K)
0
-2
-4
-6
-8
-10
-12
-14
-16
-18
-20
-20
-18
-16
-14
-12
-10
-8
-6
-4
-2
0
log L(π)
10^2
10
1

Step 5: Use likelihoods to identify particles

- Compare log likelihoods to get an ID for each CEDAR:
  - $\mathbf{log\,L^K > log\,L^\pi + A} \Rightarrow$ PID $\mathbf{K}$
  - $\mathbf{log\,L^\pi > log\,L^K + B} \Rightarrow$ PID $\boldsymbol{\pi}$
  - else no PID given
- Tune **A** and **B** due to efficiency/purity.

Step 5: Use likelihoods to identify particles

- Compare log likelihoods to get an ID for each CEDAR:
  - $\log L^K > \log L^\pi + A \Rightarrow$ PID **K**
  - $\log L^\pi > \log L^K + B \Rightarrow$ PID π
  - else no PID given
- Tune **A** and **B** due to efficiency/purity.
- Combine CEDARs afterwards

C2 \ C1	?	π	**K**
?	?	π	**K**
π	π	π	?
K	**K**	?	**K**

Calculation of Purity

Look at

$$\mathbf{h^- p \rightarrow h'^- K_S^0 p}$$

Then one knows (due to conservation of strangeness):

$\mathbf{h'^-}$	$\mathbf{h^-}$
$\mathbf{K^-}$	π^-
π^-	$\mathbf{K^-}$

$\rightarrow$ Identify $\mathbf{h'^-}$ using the RICH and count the "wrong" particles

Select $\mathbf{h}^- = \pi^-$



For CEDAR pions RICH should only see kaons ($\mathbf{\log_2\left(p(K)/p(\pi)\right) > 0}$)
Look at events with $\mathbf{p < 50\,\mathrm{GeV}}$, cut out $\pm\mathbf{0}$

Purity of Pion Selection



- Independent of likelihood cut
- $\approx$ 40% better than multiplicity

Select $\mathbf{h^-} = \mathbf{K^-}$



For CEDAR pions RICH should only see pions ($\mathbf{\log_2\left(p(K)/p(\pi)\right)} < \mathbf{0}$)
Look at events with $\mathbf{p} < \mathbf{50}\,\mathrm{GeV}$, cut out $\pm\mathbf{0}$

Purity of Kaon Selection



- Independent of likelihood cut
- Compatible with multiplicity method
- Compatible with pion purity

Calculation of Efficiency

Look at expected number of particles in the beam
2.4% kaons, 97% pions

Kaons



Pions



$\Rightarrow$ Efficiency of around 80% for pions and kaons
Efficiency for kaons $\approx$ 60% better than for multiplicity method

Direct Comparison with multiplicity Method



Direct Comparison with multiplicity Method



- Most of multiplicity kaons reproduced as kaons (896 of 1074, 83%)

Direct Comparison with multiplicity Method



- Most of multiplicity kaons reproduced as kaons (896 of 1074, 83%)
- 920 additional kaons from multiplicity pions

Summary

- COMPASS hadron beam consists of 97% pions and 2.4% kaons
- Pions and kaons have to be identified for analyses
- multiplicity method only identifies $\approx$ **50%** of the kaons
  - Problems with divergent beams
- Likelihood method improves identification for divergent beams
  - Identifies 80% of the kaons with 87% purity.

Summary

- COMPASS hadron beam consists of 97% pions and 2.4% kaons
- Pions and kaons have to be identified for analyses
- multiplicity method only identifies $\approx$ **50%** of the kaons
  - Problems with divergent beams
- Likelihood method improves identification for divergent beams
  - Identifies 80% of the kaons with 87% purity.

Outlook

- Adapt methods to 2009/2012 data taking
- Do finetuning using existing hadron analyses
- Redo $\mathbf{K}\pi\pi$ analysis