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## Outline

## Longitudinally polarised DIS:

- $A_{1}{ }^{d / p}, g_{1}{ }^{d / p}$, first moments of $g_{1}{ }^{d}$ and the Bjorken sum rule
- Semi-inclusive asymmetries and flavour separation
- Gluon polarisation in LO:
- Open Charm
- High- $p_{T}$ hadron pairs
- Gluon polarisation in NLO: $\rightarrow$ NEW
- Open Charm


## The COMPASS spectrometer and target



# Inclusive asymmetries and spin structure functions 



- The number of reconstructed events inside each spin configuration, $N_{t}\left(t=u, d, u^{\prime}\right.$, $d^{\prime}$ ), can be used to extract the $\boldsymbol{\gamma}^{\dot{*}}$-deuteron / proton $\left(\mathrm{A}_{1}^{\mathrm{d}} / \mathrm{A}_{1}{ }^{\mathrm{p}}\right.$ ) asymmetries:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{A}^{\mathrm{exp}} & =\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{u}}-\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{d}}}{\mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{u}}+\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{d}}}+\frac{\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{d}^{\prime}}-\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{u}^{\prime}}}{\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{d}^{\prime}}+\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{u}^{\prime}}}\right) \\
& =\mathrm{f} \cdot \mathrm{P}_{\dot{\mu}} \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{T}}{\mathrm{D} \cdot \mathrm{~A}_{\mathrm{t}}}^{\mathrm{A}^{\mathrm{N}}}
\end{aligned}
$$

$\mathrm{D}=\underline{\text { Depolarisation factor }}$
upstream cell downstream cell


- Weighting each event with $\omega=\left(f \mathbf{P}_{\mu} \mathbf{D}\right)$ :
$A_{1}=\frac{1}{P_{T}} \times \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\sum_{u} \omega-\sum_{d} \omega}{\sum_{u} \omega+\sum_{d} \omega}+\frac{\sum_{d^{\prime}} \omega-\sum_{u^{\prime}} \omega}{\sum_{d^{\prime}} \omega+\sum_{u^{\prime}} \omega}\right)$ with statistical gain: $\frac{\left\langle\omega^{2}\right\rangle}{\langle\omega\rangle^{2}}$


## Inclusive asymmetries $A_{1}{ }^{\mathrm{d} / \mathrm{p}}: \mathbf{Q}^{\mathbf{2}}>\mathbf{1}(\mathbf{G e V} / \mathbf{c})^{\mathbf{2}}$



- Good agreement between all experimental points
- Significant improvement of precision in the low $x$ region: compatible with zero for $x<0.01$
- No negative trend for $\mathrm{A}_{1}{ }^{\mathrm{d}}$


## Interpretation of $A_{1}$ in terms of structure functions



$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Delta \mathrm{q}(\mathrm{x})=\mathrm{q}(\mathrm{x})^{+}-\mathrm{q}(\mathrm{x})^{-} \\
& \mathrm{q}(\mathrm{x})=\mathrm{q}(\mathrm{x})^{+}+\mathrm{q}(\mathrm{x})^{-}
\end{aligned}
$$



+ quark $\uparrow \uparrow$ nucleon
- quark $\uparrow \downarrow$ nucleon

$$
\mathrm{A}_{1}\left(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{Q}^{2}\right)=\frac{\sigma_{\uparrow \downarrow}-\sigma_{\uparrow \uparrow}}{\sigma_{\uparrow \downarrow}+\sigma_{\uparrow \uparrow}} \approx \frac{\sum_{\mathrm{q}} \mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{q}}^{2} \Delta \mathrm{q}\left(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{Q}^{2}\right)}{\sum_{\mathrm{q}} \mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{q}}^{2} \mathrm{q}\left(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{Q}^{2}\right)}=\frac{\mathrm{g}_{1}\left(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{Q}^{2}\right)}{\mathrm{F}_{1}\left(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{Q}^{2}\right)}=\frac{\mathrm{g}_{1}\left(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{Q}^{2}\right) 2 \mathrm{x}(1+\mathrm{R})}{\mathrm{F}_{2}\left(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{Q}^{2}\right)}
$$

- $g_{1}$ (polarised structure function) is obtained from the asymmetry $A_{1}$ using:
$\mathrm{F}_{2} \rightarrow \underline{\text { SMC parameterisation }}$ and $\mathrm{R}=\sigma^{\mathrm{L}} / \sigma^{\mathrm{T}} \rightarrow$ SLAC parameterisation


## COMPASS results for $\mathrm{g}_{1}{ }^{\mathrm{d} / \mathrm{p}}$ and first moments of $\mathrm{g}_{1}^{\mathrm{d}}$




$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Gamma_{1}^{\mathbf{N}}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{0}^{2}=3(\mathbf{G e V} / \mathbf{c})^{2}\right)=\int_{0}^{1} \mathbf{g}_{1}(\mathbf{x}) \mathbf{d x}=0.0502 \pm 0.0028(\text { stat }) \pm 0.0020(\text { evol }) \pm 0.0051(\text { syst }) \\
& \quad=\frac{1}{9}\left(1-\frac{\alpha_{\mathbf{s}}\left(\mathbf{Q}^{2}\right)}{\pi}+\mathbf{O}\left(\alpha_{\mathrm{s}}^{2}\right)\right)\left(\mathbf{a}_{0}\left(\mathbf{Q}^{2}\right)+\frac{1}{4} \mathbf{a}_{8}\right) \Rightarrow \mathbf{a}_{0}=0.35 \pm 0.03(\text { stat }) \pm 0.05(\text { syst })
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{gathered}
\Delta \Sigma^{\overline{\mathrm{MS}}}=0.33 \pm 0.03(\text { stat }) \pm 0.05(\text { syst }) \quad\left(\Delta \Sigma^{\overline{\mathrm{MS}}}=\mathbf{a}_{0} @ \mathbf{Q}^{2} \rightarrow \infty\right) \\
(\Delta \mathbf{s}+\Delta \overline{\mathbf{s}})=\frac{1}{3}\left(\Delta \Sigma^{\overline{\mathrm{MS}}}-\mathbf{a}_{8}\right)=-0.08 \pm 0.01(\text { stat }) \pm 0.02(\text { syst })
\end{gathered}
$$

## Bjorken sum rule

- According to the Bjorken sum rule the first moment of the non-singlet spin structure function, $\mathrm{g}_{1}^{\text {NS }}$, is proportional to the ratio of axial and vector coupling constants $\mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{A}} / \mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{V}}$ :

$$
\int_{0}^{1} \mathbf{g}_{1}^{\text {NS }}\left(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{Q}^{2}\right) \mathbf{d x}=\frac{1}{6}\left|\frac{\mathbf{g}_{\mathbf{A}}}{\mathbf{g}_{\mathbf{B}}}\right| \mathbf{C}_{1}^{\mathbf{N S}}\left(\mathbf{Q}^{2}\right) \quad \text { using } \quad \begin{aligned}
\mathbf{g}_{1}^{\text {NS }}\left(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{Q}^{2}\right) & =\mathbf{g}_{1}^{\mathbf{p}}\left(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{Q}^{2}\right)-\mathbf{g}_{1}^{\mathbf{n}}\left(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{Q}^{2}\right) \\
& =2 \mathrm{~g}_{1}^{\mathbf{p}}-2 \mathrm{~g}_{1}^{\mathbf{d}} /\left(1-1.5 \omega_{\mathbf{D}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$




- QCD fit of COMPASS data using $\Delta \mathrm{q}^{\mathrm{NS}}=\left|\mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{A}} / \mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{V}}\right| x^{\alpha}(1-x)^{\beta}$ :

$$
\left|\frac{\mathbf{g}_{\mathbf{A}}}{\mathbf{g}_{\mathbf{V}}}\right|=1.28 \pm 0.07(\text { stat }) \pm 0.10(\text { sys })
$$

$$
\left(\underline{P D G} \text { value }:\left|g_{A} / g_{V}\right|=1.269 \pm 0.003\right)
$$

## $Q^{2}$ dependence of $g_{1}\left(x, Q^{2}\right)$ for DGLAP evolution




- The kinematic range is still limited (compared to the unpolarised $\mathrm{F}_{2}$ )
- additional data from colliders is required!
- $(\Delta \mathrm{u}+\Delta \overline{\mathrm{u}})$ and $(\Delta \mathrm{d}+\Delta \overline{\mathrm{d}})$ are well constrained by the data (LSS PRD 80 2009)
- $\Delta \mathrm{s}$ comes out negative and $\Delta \mathrm{g}$ is small $(<0.5) \rightarrow$ Still with large uncertainties


## Semi-inclusive asymmetries and flavour separation

## Extraction of the quark helicity distributions from SIDIS



- The outgoing hadron tags the quark flavour
- Required: fragmentation function of a quark q to a hadron $\mathrm{h}: \mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{q}}^{\mathrm{h}}\left(\mathrm{z}, \mathrm{Q}^{2}\right)$

$$
\mathbf{z}=\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{h}} /\left(\mathbf{E}_{\mu}-\mathbf{E}_{\mu}^{\prime}\right)
$$

- The semi-inclusive asymmetries have the following interpretation (in LO ):

$$
A_{1}^{\mathrm{h}(\mathrm{p} / \mathrm{d})}\left(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{z}, \mathrm{Q}^{2}\right) \approx \frac{\sum_{\mathrm{q}} \mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{q}}^{2} \Delta \mathrm{q}\left(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{Q}^{2}\right) \mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{q}}^{\mathrm{h}}\left(\mathrm{z}, \mathrm{Q}^{2}\right)}{\sum_{\mathrm{q}} \mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{q}}^{2} \mathrm{q}\left(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{Q}^{2}\right) \mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{q}}^{\mathrm{h}}\left(\mathrm{z}, \mathrm{Q}^{2}\right)}
$$

- Inputs needed for the extraction of $\Delta q\left(x, Q^{2}\right)$ :
- Unpolarised PDFs $\left(\mathrm{q}\left(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{Q}^{2}\right)\right) \rightarrow \underline{\text { MRST04 }}$
- $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{q}}^{\mathrm{h}}\left(\mathrm{z}, \mathrm{Q}^{2}\right) \rightarrow$ DSS parameterisation


## Inclusive and semi-inclusive spin asymmetries: Deuteron data



- From these asymmetries one can extract: $\Delta \mathrm{u}+\Delta \mathrm{d}, \Delta \overline{\mathrm{u}}+\Delta \overline{\mathrm{d}}$ and $\Delta \mathrm{s}=\Delta \overline{\mathrm{s}}$


## Inclusive and semi-inclusive spin asymmetries: Proton data



- Using $\mathrm{A}_{1, \mathrm{p}}^{\mathrm{h}}$ and $\mathrm{A}_{1, \mathrm{~d}}^{\mathrm{h}}$, we can separately extract: $\Delta \mathrm{u}, \Delta \mathrm{d}, \Delta \overline{\mathrm{u}}, \Delta \overline{\mathrm{d}}, \Delta \mathrm{s}$ and $\Delta \overline{\mathrm{s}}$


## Comparison of $\Delta \mathrm{s}$ with $\Delta \bar{s}$


$\Delta s-\Delta \bar{s}$ is compatible with $\mathbf{0} \rightarrow \Delta s=\Delta \bar{s}$ is assumed in the subsequent analysis

## Quark helicities from SIDIS ( $\mathrm{Q}^{2}=3(\mathrm{GeV} / \mathrm{c})^{2}$ and $\mathrm{x}<0.3$ )



$$
\Delta \mathbf{s}(\text { SIDIS })=-0.01 \pm 0.01(\text { stat }) \pm 0.01(\text { syst }) @ 0.003<\mathbf{x}<0.3
$$

## $\Delta$ s dependence on FFs

- The relation between the semi-inclusive asymmetries and $\Delta \mathrm{s}$ depends only on the following ratios:

$$
\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{U F}}=\frac{\int_{0.2}^{0.85} \mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{d}}^{\mathbf{K}^{+}}(\mathbf{z}) \mathbf{d z}}{\int_{0.2}^{0.85} \mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{u}}^{\mathrm{K}^{+}}(\mathbf{z}) \mathbf{d z}}, \quad \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{S F}}=\frac{\int_{0.2}^{0.85} \mathbf{D}_{\bar{s}}^{\mathrm{K}^{+}}(\mathbf{z}) \mathbf{d z}}{\int_{0.2}^{0.85} \mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{u}}^{\mathrm{K}^{+}}(\mathbf{z}) \mathbf{d z}}
$$



- Determination of $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{SF}}$ from hadron multiplicities on the way


## $\Delta \bar{u}-\Delta \bar{d}$ : Flavour asymmetry?

- The considerable asymmetry observed for (u-d) is not verified in the polarised case :
- $\Delta \overline{\mathrm{u}}-\Delta \mathrm{d}$ is slightly positive but compatible with zero!



## Gluon Polarisation

## Direct measurement of $\Delta \mathrm{G} / \mathrm{G}$ in LO

photon-gluon fusion process (PGF)


$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{A}_{\gamma \mathbf{N}}^{\mathrm{PGF}}=\frac{\int \mathbf{d} \hat{\mathbf{s}} \Delta \sigma^{\mathrm{PGF}} \Delta \mathbf{G}\left(\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{G}}, \hat{\mathbf{s}}\right)}{\int \mathbf{d} \hat{\mathbf{s}} \sigma^{\mathrm{PGF}} \mathbf{G}\left(\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{G}}, \hat{\mathbf{s}}\right)} \\
& \approx<\mathbf{a}_{\mathrm{LL}}^{\mathrm{PGF}}>\frac{\Delta \mathbf{G}}{\mathbf{G}} \\
& \text { analysing power }
\end{aligned}
$$

There are two methods to tag this process:

- Open Charm production
- $\gamma^{*} \mathrm{~g} \rightarrow \mathrm{cc} \Rightarrow$ reconstruct $\mathrm{D}^{0}$ mesons
- Hard scale: $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{c}}{ }^{2}$
- No intrinsic charm in COMPASS kinematics
- No physical background
- Weakly Monte Carlo dependent
- Low statistics
- High- $p_{\mathrm{T}}$ hadron pairs
- $\gamma^{*} \mathrm{~g} \rightarrow \mathrm{q} \overline{\mathrm{q}} \Rightarrow$ reconstruct 2 jets or $\mathrm{h}^{+} \mathrm{h}^{-}$
- Hard scale: $\mathrm{Q}^{2}$ or $\Sigma \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{T}}^{2}\left[\mathrm{Q}^{2}>1\right.$ or $\left.\mathrm{Q}^{2}<1(\mathrm{GeV} / \mathrm{c})^{2}\right]$
- High statistics
- Physical background
- Strongly Monte Carlo dependent

Open Charm

## Open Charm analysis: Simultaneous extraction of $\Delta G / G$ and $A^{b g}$

- The relation between the number of reconstructed $\mathrm{D}^{0}$ (for each target cell configuration) and $\Delta \mathrm{G} / \mathrm{G}$ is given by:

$$
\mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{t}}=\mathbf{a} \phi \mathbf{n}(\mathbf{S}+\mathbf{B})\left(1+\mathbf{f} \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{T}} \mathbf{P}_{\mu}\left[\mathbf{a}_{\mathbf{L L}} \frac{\mathbf{S}}{\mathbf{S}+\mathbf{B}} \frac{\Delta \mathbf{G}}{\mathbf{G}}+\mathbf{D} \frac{\mathbf{B}}{\mathbf{S}+\mathbf{B}} \mathbf{A}^{\mathbf{b g}}\right]\right), \mathbf{t}=\left(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{d}, \mathbf{u}^{\prime}, \mathbf{d}^{\prime}\right)
$$

- Each equation is weighted with a signal weight $\omega_{S}=f P_{\mu} a_{L L} S /(S+B)$ and also with a background weight $\omega_{B}=f P_{\mu} D B /(S+B)$ :
$\underline{8}$ equations with 7 unknowns: $\Delta G / G, A^{b g}+5$ independent $\alpha=(a \phi n)$ factors
The system is solved by a $\chi^{2}$ minimisation


## D $^{0}$ invariant mass spectra: All samples (2002-2007 data)



## Neural Network qualification of events

- Two real data samples (with the same cuts applied) are compared by a Neural Network (using some kinematic variables as a learning vector):
- Signal model $\rightarrow \mathbf{g c c}=\mathbf{K}^{+} \pi^{-} \boldsymbol{\pi}_{\mathbf{s}}^{-}+\mathbf{K}^{-} \boldsymbol{\pi}^{+} \boldsymbol{\pi}_{\mathbf{s}}^{+}$( $D^{0}$ spectrum: signal + background $)$
- Background model $\rightarrow$ wcc $=\mathbf{K}^{+} \pi^{+} \pi_{\mathrm{s}}^{-}+\mathbf{K}^{-} \pi^{-} \pi_{\mathrm{s}}^{+}$(no $D^{0}$ is allowed)
- If the background model is good enough: The Neural Network is able to distinguish the signal from the combinatorial background on a event by event basis (inside gcc)

Example of a good learning variable



## $\mathrm{S} /(\mathrm{S}+\mathrm{B})$ : Obtaining final probabilities for a $\mathbf{D}^{\mathbf{0}}$ candidate

- Events with small $\mathbf{S} /(\mathbf{S}+\mathrm{B})_{\mathrm{NN}}$
- Mostly combinatorial background is selected

$\mathbf{S} /(\mathbf{S}+\mathbf{B})$ is obtained from a fit inside this bins (correcting with the NN parameterisation)

- Events with large $\mathbf{S} /(\mathbf{S}+\mathrm{B})_{\mathrm{NN}}$
- Mostly Open Charm events are selected



COMPASS $2006 D_{k, ~\left(D^{*}\right.}$ tagged): $0.53 \ll \Sigma<0.65$


$$
\delta\left(\frac{\Delta \mathbf{G}}{\mathbf{G}}\right) \propto \frac{1}{\mathbf{F O M}}
$$

## Analysing power (muon-gluon asymmetry $\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{LL}}$ )

- $a_{L L}$ is dependent on the full knowledge of the partonic kinematics:

$$
\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{LL}}=\frac{\Delta \sigma^{\mathrm{PGF}}}{\sigma_{\mathrm{PGF}}}\left(\mathrm{y}, \mathrm{Q}^{2,} \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{g}}, \mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{C}}, \phi\right)
$$

Can't be experimentally obtained: only one charmed meson is reconstructed

- $a_{\text {LL }}$ is obtained from Monte-Carlo (in LO), to serve as input for a Neural Network parameterisation on some reconstructed kinematical variables: $y, x_{B j}, Q^{2}, z_{D}$ and $p_{T}$


Parameterised $\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{LL}}$, shows a strong correlation with the generated one (using AROMA)

## Open Charm results in LO




$$
\frac{\Delta \mathbf{G}}{\mathbf{G}}=-0.08 \pm 0.21(\mathbf{s t a t}) \pm 0.08(\text { syst }) \quad \ll \mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{g}}>=0.11_{-0.05}^{+0.11},<\mu^{2}>=13(\mathbf{G e V} / \mathbf{c})^{2}
$$

## High- $p_{\mathrm{T}}$ hadron pairs

High $p_{\mathrm{T}}$ asymmetries (2002-2006): $\left.\mathbf{Q}^{2}>\mathbf{1 ( G e V / c}\right)^{2}$

- Two samples are considered:
- Inclusive asymmetry

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{A}_{1}^{\mathbf{d}}(\mathbf{x})=\frac{\Delta \mathbf{G}}{\mathbf{G}}\left(\mathbf{x}_{\mathrm{g}}\right)\left(\mathbf{a}_{\mathrm{LL}}^{\mathrm{PGF}, \text { inc }} \frac{\sigma^{\mathrm{PGF}, \text { inc }}}{\sigma^{\mathrm{Tot}, \text { inc }}}\right)+\mathbf{A}_{1}^{\mathrm{LO}}\left(\mathbf{x}_{\mathrm{C}}\right)\left(\mathbf{a}_{\mathrm{LL}}^{\mathrm{C}, \text { inc }} \frac{\sigma^{\mathrm{C}, \text { inc }}}{\sigma^{\mathrm{Tot}, \text { inc }}}\right)+\mathbf{A}_{1}^{\mathrm{LO}}\left(\mathbf{x}_{\mathrm{Bj}}\right)\left(\mathbf{D} \frac{\sigma^{\mathrm{LO}, \text { inc }}}{\sigma^{\mathrm{Tot}, \text { inc }}}\right) \\
& \mathbf{A}_{\mathrm{LL}}^{2 \mathrm{~h}}(\mathbf{x})=\left(\frac{\mathbf{A}^{\mathrm{exp}}}{\mathbf{f} \mathbf{P}_{\mu} \mathbf{P}_{\mathrm{T}}}\right)=\frac{\Delta \mathbf{G}}{\mathbf{G}}\left(\mathbf{x}_{\mathrm{g}}\right)\left(\mathbf{a}_{\mathrm{LL}}^{\mathrm{PGF}} \frac{\sigma^{\mathrm{PGF}}}{\sigma^{\mathrm{Tot}}}\right)+\mathbf{A}_{1}^{\mathrm{LO}}\left(\mathbf{x}_{\mathrm{C}}\right)\left(\mathbf{a}_{\mathrm{LL}}^{\mathrm{C}} \frac{\sigma^{\mathrm{C}}}{\sigma^{\mathrm{Tot} t}}\right)+\mathbf{A}_{1}^{\mathrm{LO}}\left(\mathbf{x}_{\mathrm{Bj}}\right)\left(\mathbf{D} \frac{\sigma^{\mathrm{LO}}}{\sigma^{\mathrm{Tot}}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

high $-p_{\mathrm{T}}$ hadron pairs $\left(p_{\mathrm{T} 1} / p_{\mathrm{T} 2}>0.7 / 0.4 \mathrm{GeV} / \mathrm{c}\right) \Rightarrow$ enhancement of the PGF contribution


## Extraction of $\Delta \mathrm{G} / \mathrm{G}$ from high $-p_{\mathrm{T}}: \mathrm{Q}^{2}>1(\mathrm{GeV} / \mathrm{c})^{2}$

- The gluon polarisation is determined from two asymmetry samples: the two high$\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{T}}$ hadrons and the inclusive data samples. The final formula is:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\Delta \mathrm{g}}{\mathrm{~g}}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{g}}\right)=\frac{1}{\beta}\left[\mathrm{~A}_{\mathrm{LL}}^{\mathrm{Lh}}(\mathrm{x})+\mathrm{A}_{\text {corr }}\right] \quad \mathrm{A}_{\text {corr }}=-\left(\mathrm{A}_{1}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{Bj}}\right) \mathrm{D} \frac{\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{L} 0}}{\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{LO}}^{\text {inc }}}-\mathrm{A}_{1}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{C}}\right) \beta_{1}+\mathrm{A}_{1}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{C}}{ }^{\prime}\right) \beta_{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

- $\beta_{1}$ and $\beta_{2}$ are factors depending on $a_{L L}{ }^{i}$ and $R_{i}$
- Each event is weighted with $\omega=\mathrm{fD}_{\mu} \beta \rightarrow$ statistical improvement!
- The following parameters are obtained from Monte Carlo, and then they are parameterised event-by-event by a Neural Network (to allow for their use in data):

$$
R_{\text {PGF }}, R_{C}, R_{L O}, R_{\text {PGF }}^{\text {inc }}, R_{C}^{\text {inc }}, R_{L O}^{\text {inc }}, a_{L L}^{\text {PGF }}, a_{L L}^{C}, a_{L L}^{L O}, a_{L L}^{\text {PGF, inc }}, a_{L L}^{C, \text { inc }} \text { and } a_{L L}^{\text {LO, inc }}
$$

## Data vs Monte Carlo: Comparison of $\mathbf{Q}^{2}$ and hadron variables

Monte Carlo (PS on): LEPTO generator with PDFs from MSTW2008LO


The impact of this tunning is included in the systematic error

High $-p_{\mathrm{T}}$ results: $\mathbf{Q}^{\mathbf{2}}>\mathbf{1}(\mathbf{G e V} / \mathbf{c})^{\mathbf{2}}$

$$
\frac{\Delta \mathbf{G}}{\mathbf{G}}=0.125 \pm 0.060(\text { stat }) \pm 0.063(\text { syst }) \quad @<\mathbf{x}_{\mathrm{g}}>=0.09_{-0.04}^{+0.08},<\mu^{2}>=3.4(\mathbf{G e V} / \mathbf{c})^{2}
$$



## High $-p_{\mathrm{T}}$ analysis: $\mathrm{Q}^{2}<\mathbf{1}(\mathrm{GeV} / \mathbf{c})^{\mathbf{2}}$




2002-2004 Preliminary:
$\Delta \mathrm{G} / \mathrm{G}=0.016 \pm 0.058$ (stat) $\pm 0.055$ (syst)
2002-2003 Published:
$\Delta \mathrm{G} / \mathrm{G}=0.024 \pm 0.089$ (stat) $\pm 0.057$ (syst) $\rightarrow$ Phys. Lett. B 633 (2006) 25-32

## World measurements on $\Delta \mathrm{G} / \mathrm{G}$ in LO



NLO results from Open Charm

## NLO corrections to the analysing power $\left\langle\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{LL}}\right\rangle$



## Comparison of $\mathbf{a}_{\mathrm{LL}}(\mathrm{LO})$ with $\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{LL}}(\mathrm{NLO})$

- The AROMA generator is used to simulate the fase space for the NLO (PS on) / LO (PS off) calculations of $\mathbf{a}_{\mathbf{L L}}$. The resulting $D^{0}$ mesons are reconstructed in the COMPASS spectrometer like real events. The respective $a_{L L}$ distributions are:


NLO results for $A_{\gamma N}^{\mathbf{P G F}}: \mathbf{A}_{\gamma \mathrm{N}}=\left(\frac{\mathbf{a}_{\mathrm{LL}}^{\mathrm{PGF}}(\mathbf{N L O})}{\mathbf{D}} \frac{\Delta \mathbf{G}}{\mathbf{G}}+\frac{\mathbf{a}_{\mathrm{LL}}^{\mathrm{q}}(\mathbf{N L O})}{\mathbf{D}} \mathbf{A}_{1}\right) \quad \mathbf{A}_{\text {corr }}$

| Bins | $\mathrm{D}^{0} \rightarrow \mathrm{~K} \pi$ samples |  |  | $\mathrm{D}^{0} \rightarrow \mathrm{~K} \pi \pi^{0}$ sample |  |  | $\mathrm{D}^{0} \rightarrow \mathrm{~K} \pi \pi \pi$ sample |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{array}{c\|c} \hline \mathbf{p}_{\mathrm{T}}\left(\mathbf{D}^{0}\right) & \mathbf{E}\left(\mathbf{D}^{0}\right) \\ (\mathrm{GeV} / \mathrm{c}) & (\mathrm{GeV}) \end{array}$ | $\mathrm{A}_{\chi^{N}}$ | $\mathrm{a}_{\text {LL }}^{\text {PGF/ }} / \mathbf{D}$ | $\mathbf{A}_{\text {corr }}$ | $\mathrm{A}_{\gamma}$ | $\mathbf{a}_{\mathrm{LL}}^{\mathrm{PGF}} / \mathbf{D}$ | $\mathbf{A}_{\text {corr }}$ | $\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{N}}$ | $\mathbf{a}_{\mathrm{LL}}^{\mathrm{PGF}} / \mathbf{D}$ | $\mathrm{A}_{\text {corr }}$ |
| [0, | $-0.90 \pm 0.63$ | 0.00 | 0.01 | $-0.63 \pm 1.29$ | -0.11 | 0.01 | $7.03 \pm 4.74$ | -0.09 | 0.01 |
| [0, 0.3] [30, 50] | $-0.19 \pm 0.48$ | -0.06 | 0.01 | $0.27 \pm 1,17$ | -0.08 | 0.01 | $-2.05 \pm 1.10$ | -0.08 | 0.01 |
| > 50 | $0.07 \pm 0.68$ | -0.12 | 0.02 | $-2.55 \pm 2.00$ | -0.11 | 0.02 | $0.17 \pm 1.83$ | -0.09 | 0.01 |
| [0,30] | $-0.18 \pm 0.37$ | -0.08 | 0.01 | -0.24 $\pm 0.80$ | -0.17 | 0.01 | $-0.59 \pm 1.74$ | -0.10 | 0.02 |
| [0.3,0.7] [30,50] | $0.10 \pm 0.26$ | -0.19 | 0.02 | $0.49 \pm 0.69$ | -0.23 | 0.02 | $1.00 \pm 0.54$ | -0.20 | 0.02 |
| $\geq 50$ | $-0.04 \pm 0.36$ | -0.22 | 0.02 | $-1.28 \pm 1.03$ | -0.18 | 0.02 | $-1.75 \pm 0.84$ | -0.21 | 0.02 |
| $[0,30]$ | $-0.42 \pm 0.44$ | -0.26 | 0.01 | $0.55 \pm 0.95$ | -0.29 | 0.02 | $2.91 \pm 2.61$ | -0.19 | 0.01 |
| [0.7,1.0[ [30, 50] | $-0.36 \pm 0.29$ | -0.29 | 0.01 | $-0.53 \pm 0.76$ | -0.32 | 0.02 | $1.42 \pm 0.57$ | -0.31 | 0.02 |
| > 50 | $1.49 \pm 0.42$ | -0.33 | 0.03 | -0.17士1.00 | -0.36 | 0.03 | $1.69 \pm 0.81$ | -0.32 | 0.03 |
| [0,30] | $-0.30 \pm 0.35$ | -0.35 | 0.01 | $1.35 \pm 0.86$ | -0.40 | 0.02 | $-1.89 \pm 2.64$ | -0.36 | 0.02 |
| [1.0,1.5 [ [30, 50] | $0.13 \pm 0.23$ | -0.40 | 0.02 | $-0.11 \pm 0.51$ | -0.44 | 0.03 | $-0.45 \pm 0.51$ | -0.41 | 0.02 |
| >50 | $-0.20 \pm 0.33$ | -0.43 | 0.03 | $-0.05 \pm 0.78$ | -0.42 | 0.04 | $1.06 \pm 0.66$ | -0.45 | 0.03 |
| [0,30] | $0.38 \pm 0.49$ | -0.49 | 0.02 | -0.19 $\pm 1.14$ | -0.52 | 0.02 | $1.64 \pm 3.52$ | -0.49 | 0.03 |
| >1.5 [30, 50] | $-0.00 \pm 0.25$ | -0.53 | 0.03 | $-0.23 \pm 0.51$ | -0.50 | 0.04 | 0.44 $\pm 0.68$ | -0.54 | 0.03 |
| > 50 | $0.36 \pm 0.33$ | -0.53 | 0.04 | $0.26 \pm 0.90$ | -0.49 | 0.05 | $0.08 \pm 0.63$ | -0.54 | 0.05 |

## $\Delta \mathrm{G} / \mathrm{G}$ result in NLO $\rightarrow$ NEW



$$
\frac{\Delta \mathbf{G}}{\mathbf{G}}=-0.20 \pm 0.21 \pm 0.08(\mathbf{s y s t}) \quad @<\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{g}}>=0.28_{-0.10}^{+0.19},<\mu^{2}>=13(\mathbf{G e V} / \mathbf{c})^{2}
$$

Preliminary: theoretical uncertainties still under study $\left(\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{LL}}\right)$

## SPARES

## Open Charm: Comparison of the $\mathbf{x}_{\mathrm{g}}(\mathrm{LO})$ and $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{g}}(\mathrm{NLO})$ distributions

LO


NLO


