Longitudinal polarization of the Λ and $\bar{\Lambda}$ hyperons in DIS at COMPASS (2003-2004). Dependence on the target polarization.

Salmina Ekaterina

JINR, Dubna
On behalf of COMPASS collaboration

04.10.2010

- Longitudinal polarization of Λ and $\bar{\Lambda}$ hyperons in DIS (averaged on target polarization). [1]
- Dependence of Λ and $\bar{\Lambda}$ longitudinal polarization on the target polarization.

[1] *COMPASS Collab. EPJC 64 (2009) 171-179*

Physical Motivation

Longitudinal polarization of Λ and $\overline{\Lambda}$ in DIS is sensitive to:

- $s(x)$, $\bar{s}(x)$
- polarization of strange quarks Δs

$$\Delta s = \int dx \left[s_{\uparrow}(x) - s_{\downarrow}(x) + \bar{s}_{\uparrow}(x) - \bar{s}_{\downarrow}(x) \right]$$

- Λ spin structure

Example of quark spin transfer to Λ in DIS



COMPASS Spectrometer setup



- Year 2003:
  $P_b = -0.76 \pm 0.04$
- Year 2004:
  $P_b = -0.80 \pm 0.04$

- 160 GeV μ^+ beam
- $2.8 \cdot 10^8$ μ/spill (4.8 s/16.8 s)
- $Q^2 > 1$ (GeV/c)^2: $31.2 \cdot 10^7$ events

Polarized target





- target material: ^{6}LiD
- polarisation: $> 50\%$
- dilution factor: ~ 0.4
- Dynamic Nuclear Polarization
- solenoid field: 2.5 T
  acceptance: 70 mrad
- ^{3}He/^{4}He: $T_{min} \approx 50$ mK
- two 60 cm long target cells with opposite polarisation
- regular polarisation reversal by field rotation

Production of Λ, $\bar{\Lambda}$ and K

- $\mu^+ + d \longrightarrow \mu^+ + \Lambda + X$
  $\Lambda \longrightarrow p + \pi^-$
- $\mu^+ + d \longrightarrow \mu^+ + \overline{\Lambda} + X$
  $\overline{\Lambda} \longrightarrow \overline{p} + \pi^+$
- $\mu^+ + d \longrightarrow \mu^+ + K_S + X$
  $K_S \longrightarrow \pi^+ + \pi^-$

No PID identification

Event selection

- Primary vertex inside the target
- Secondary vertex: 5 cm downstream of the last target cell
- $p_T > 23$ MeV$/c$
- $\theta < 0.01$ rad
- $Q^2 > 1$ (GeV$/c)^2$
- $0.2 < y < 0.9$
- $p_\pm > 1 GeV/c$
- $0.05 < x_F < 0.5$
- $-1 < \cos\theta < 0.6$





Statistics: comparison with other experiments

Experiment	Λ	$\bar{\Lambda}$
E665	750	650
NOMAD	8087	649
HERMES	7300	1687
RHIC	13000	10000
COMPASS	70000	42000

COMPASS has the largest number of Λ and $\bar{\Lambda}$.

Invariant mass example: year 2004, Λ and $\bar{\Lambda}$





Sideband substraction method was used to obtain cos θ angular distribution.

Bands regions: $(-5;-3)$, $(-1.5;1.5)$, $(3;5)$ σ from mass peak.

Longitudinal Λ ($\bar{\Lambda}$) polarisation



$$\frac{1}{N_{tot}}\frac{dN}{dcos\theta} = \frac{1}{2}(1 + \alpha P_L cos\ \theta)$$

P_L - longitudinal polarisation of hyperon.

$\alpha = +(-)0.642 \pm 0.013$ – Λ ($\bar{\Lambda}$) decay parameter.

By definition longitudinal spin transfer is:

$$P_L = D_{LL} P_b D(y),$$

Depolarisation factor

$D(y) = \frac{1-(1-y)^2}{1+(1-y)^2}$

Example of angular distribution fits



- Angular dependencies for Λ, $\bar{\Lambda}$
- 2004 year events

Results: Comparison of Λ and $\bar{\Lambda}$: x



- $D_{LL}^{\Lambda} \neq D_{LL}^{\bar{\Lambda}}$
- $D_{LL}^{\Lambda} \simeq 0$

$$D_{LL}^{\Lambda} = -0.012 \pm 0.047 \pm 0.024$$
$$D_{LL}^{\bar{\Lambda}} = \quad 0.249 \pm 0.056 \pm 0.049$$

Results: Comparison of Λ and $\bar{\Lambda}$: x_F



- $D_{LL}^{\bar{\Lambda}}$ rises with x_F

$$D_{LL}^{\Lambda} = -0.012 \pm 0.047 \pm 0.024$$
$$D_{LL}^{\bar{\Lambda}} = 0.249 \pm 0.056 \pm 0.049$$

Results: Comparison with other experiments: Λ



- COMPASS results agree with other experiments.

Comparison with theory ($\bar{\Lambda}$): CTEQ5 and GRV98



- Data for $\bar{\Lambda}$ are sensitive to the $s(x)$ distribution

Dependence on the target polarisation











\+ : target pol. is same to μ pol.

– : target pol. is opposite to μ pol.

Averaged over full kinematics : $\Delta P^{\Lambda} = P^{\Lambda}_{+} - P^{\Lambda}_{-} = -0.01 \pm 0.04$

$\Delta P^{\bar{\Lambda}} = P^{\bar{\Lambda}}_{+} - P^{\bar{\Lambda}}_{-} = +0.01 \pm 0.05$

No significant dependence is found.

Summary:

- The presented data are the most precise measurements to date of the longitudinal spin transfer to Λ and $\bar{\Lambda}$ in DIS.
  $D_{LL}^{\Lambda} = 0 : -0.012 \pm 0.047 \pm 0.024$
  $D_{LL}^{\bar{\Lambda}} \neq 0 : 0.249 \pm 0.056 \pm 0.049$

  $D_{LL}^{\Lambda} \neq D_{LL}^{\bar{\Lambda}}$
- First measurement of the $\Lambda(\bar{\Lambda})$ polarization for different target polarization. No significant dependence is found.
- Comparison with theory:
  Spin transfer to $\bar{\Lambda}$ is sensitive to $\bar{s}(x)$

Backup slides

Estimation of systematic errors

	Λ	$\bar{\Lambda}$
Spin transfer to kaons, $\delta(MC_1)$	0.016	0.016
Variation of selection cuts, $\delta(MC_2)$	0.016	0.044
Uncertainty of the ss-method, $\delta(ss)$	0.010	0.016
σ_{syst}	0.024	0.049

Invariant mass of Λ on $cos\theta$



Kaon background is important at large $cos\theta$

Example of diquark spin transfer to Λ in DIS



Comparison with theory (Λ): CTEQ5 and GRV98



- CTEQ5 – solid line
- GRV98 – dashed line
- $D_{LL}(s) = 0$ BG and SU(6) models – 2 lower lines

Polarization of Λ from quark fragmentation

Λ polarization from struck quark fragmentation in parton model:

$$P_\Lambda = \frac{\sum_q e_q^2 \left[P_b D(y) q(x) + P_T \Delta q(x)\right] \Delta D_q^\Lambda(z)}{\sum_q e_q^2 \left[q(x) + P_b P_T D(y) \Delta q(x)\right] D_q^\Lambda(z)}$$

- $P_b D(y) q(x)$ – spin transfer from polarized muon
- $P_T \Delta q(x)$ – spin transfer from polarized quark

A. Kotzinian, A. Bravar, D. von Harrach, *Eur.Phys.J.* **C2**, 329-337 (1998), hep-ph/9701384

Spin transfer of Λ and $\bar{\Lambda}$: $P_T = 0$

$$D_{LL}^{\Lambda}(x,z) \approx \frac{1}{9}\frac{s(x)\Delta D_s^{\Lambda}(z)}{\sum_q e_q^2 q(x) D_q^{\Lambda}(z)},$$

$$D_{LL}^{\bar{\Lambda}}(x,z) \approx \frac{1}{9}\frac{\bar{s}(x)\Delta D_{\bar{s}}^{\bar{\Lambda}}(z)}{\sum_q e_q^2 q(x) D_q^{\bar{\Lambda}}(z)}$$

$$D_{LL}(\bar{\Lambda}) > D_{LL}(\Lambda)$$

- $s(x) \neq \bar{s}(x)$
- $D_q^{\Lambda}(z) > D_q^{\bar{\Lambda}}$

Longitudinal polarization and spin transfer equations

$$\frac{dN}{d\Omega} = \frac{N_{tot}}{4\pi}(1 + \alpha \overrightarrow{P}\,\overrightarrow{k})$$

$\alpha = +(-)0.642 \pm 0.013$ – Λ ($\bar{\Lambda}$) decay parameter.

$$\frac{1}{N_{tot}}\frac{dN}{dcos\theta} = \frac{1}{2}(1 + \alpha P_L cos\ \theta)$$

By definition longitudinal spin transfer is:

$$P_L = D_{LL} P_b D(y),$$

where P_b – beam polarization and $D(y)$ – depolarization factor.

$$D(y) = \frac{1 - (1-y)^2}{1 + (1-y)^2}$$

Measurement of $cos\theta$ distribution gives access to P_L and so to D_{LL}

Results: Comparison with other experiments: Λ



- COMPASS results agree with other experiments.

Results: Comparison with other experiments: $\bar{\Lambda}$



- COMPASS data are in agreement with NOMAD data
- it is the only data on x_F - dependence of $\bar{\Lambda}$

Production of Λ, $\bar{\Lambda}$ and K

- $\mu^+ + d \longrightarrow \mu^+ + \Lambda + X$
  $\Lambda \longrightarrow p + \pi^-$
- $\mu^+ + d \longrightarrow \mu^+ + \overline{\Lambda} + X$
  $\overline{\Lambda} \longrightarrow \overline{p} + \pi^+$
- $\mu^+ + d \longrightarrow \mu^+ + K_S + X$
  $K_S \longrightarrow \pi^+ + \pi^-$

No PID identification

Kinematic distributions for the selected Λ sample



Mean values:

$\langle x \rangle = 0.05$

$\langle x_F \rangle = 0.23$

$\langle y \rangle = 0.46$

$\langle Q^2 \rangle = 3.31$ (GeV/c)^2

Good agreement between data and MC

Wide range of x_{Bj}

Kinematic distributions for the selected $\bar{\Lambda}$ sample



Mean values:

$\langle x \rangle = 0.05$

$\langle x_F \rangle = 0.22$

$\langle y \rangle = 0.48$

$\langle Q^2 \rangle = 3.27\ (\mathrm{GeV}/c)^2$

Λ and $\bar{\Lambda}$ have similar kinematic regions

Fit for $\Delta P/P$

Dependence on pol. PDFs



$\Delta P/P = \frac{P_- - P_+}{(P_- + P_+)/2}$, on y axis $\frac{<D(y)P_b>}{2fP_T}\frac{\Delta P^{\bar{\Lambda}}(x)}{P^{\bar{\Lambda}}(x)}$

$\Delta P/P$ changes a sign in x_{Bj} region

A.Kotzinian, DIS09

Longitudinal Λ ($\bar{\Lambda}$) polarisation

Unpolarised target:

$$P_\Lambda = \frac{\sum_q e_q^2 P_b D(y) q(x) \Delta D_q^\Lambda(z)}{\sum_q e_q^2 q(x) D_q^\Lambda(z)}$$

Polarised target:

$$P_\Lambda = \frac{\sum_q e_q^2 \left[P_b D(y) q(x) + P_T \Delta q(x)\right] \Delta D_q^\Lambda(z)}{\sum_q e_q^2 \left[q(x) + P_b P_T D(y) \Delta q(x)\right] D_q^\Lambda(z)}$$

By definition longitudinal spin transfer is:

$$P_L = D_{LL} P_b D(y),$$

Depolarisation factor $D(y) = \frac{1-(1-y)^2}{1+(1-y)^2}$

Results: Comparison with other experiments: Λ



- COMPASS results agree with other experiments.

Results: Comparison with other experiments: $\bar{\Lambda}$



- COMPASS data are in agreement with NOMAD data
- it is the only data on x_F - dependence of $\bar{\Lambda}$