

International Journal of Modern Physics: Conference Series
© The Authors

Polarized Drell-Yan at COMPASS-II: Transverse Spin Physics Program

Bakur Parsamyan

ICTP - Strada Costiera 11, 34151 Trieste, Italy
INFN sezione di Trieste - Via Valerio 2, 34127 Trieste, Italy
Università di Torino and INFN sezione di Torino - Via P. Giuria 1, 10125 Torino, Italy
bakur.parsamyan@cern.ch

Successful realization of polarized Drell-Yan physics program is one of the main goals of the second stage of the COMPASS experiment. Drell-Yan measurements with high energy (190 GeV/c) pion beam and transversely polarized NH₃ target have been initiated by a pilot-run in the October 2014 and will be followed by 140 days of data taking in 2015. In the past twelve years COMPASS experiment performed series of SIDIS measurements with high energy muon beam and transversely polarized deuteron and proton targets. Results obtained for Sivers effect and other target transverse spin dependent and unpolarized azimuthal asymmetries in SIDIS serve as an important input for general understanding of spin-structure of the nucleon and are being used in numerous theoretical and phenomenological studies being carried out in the field of transvers-spin physics. Measurement of the Sivers and all other azimuthal effects in polarized Drell-Yan at COMPASS will reveal another side of the spin-puzzle providing a link between SIDIS and Drell-Yan branches. This will be a unique possibility to test universality and key-features of transverse momentum dependent distribution functions (TMD PDFs) using essentially same experimental setup and exploring same kinematic domain. In this review main physics aspects of future COMPASS polarized Drell-Yan measurement of azimuthal transverse spin asymmetries will be presented, giving a particular emphasis on the link with very recent COMPASS results obtained for SIDIS transverse spin asymmetries from four "Drell-Yan" Q^2 -ranges.

Keywords: COMPASS; Drell-Yan; SIDIS; Transverse Spin Azimuthal Asymmetries;

PACS numbers: 13.60.-r; 13.60.Hb; 13.88.+e; 14.20.Dh; 14.65.-q.

1. Introduction

Study of spin-dependent azimuthal asymmetries arising in the SIDIS and Drell-Yan cross-sections is a powerful method used to access TMD distribution functions of the nucleon. Using standard notations the cross-section expression for the lepton off transversely polarized nucleon SIDIS processes can be written in a following

This is an Open Access article published by World Scientific Publishing Company. It is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 (CC-BY) License. Further distribution of this work is permitted, provided the original work is properly cited.

2 *Bakur Parsamyan*

model-independent way¹⁻³:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \frac{d\sigma}{dx dy dz p_T^h dp_T^h d\phi_h d\phi_S} &= 2 \left[\frac{\alpha}{xyQ^2} \frac{y^2}{2(1-\varepsilon)} \left(1 + \frac{\gamma^2}{2x} \right) \right] (F_{UU,T} + \varepsilon F_{UU,L}) \\
 &\times \left\{ 1 + \sqrt{2\varepsilon(1+\varepsilon)} A_{UU}^{\cos\phi_h} \cos\phi_h + \varepsilon A_{UU}^{\cos 2\phi_h} \cos(2\phi_h) + \lambda \sqrt{2\varepsilon(1-\varepsilon)} A_{LU}^{\sin\phi_h} \sin\phi_h \right. \\
 &+ S_T \left[A_{UT}^{\sin(\phi_h-\phi_S)} \sin(\phi_h-\phi_S) + \varepsilon A_{UT}^{\sin(\phi_h+\phi_S)} \sin(\phi_h+\phi_S) + \varepsilon A_{UT}^{\sin(3\phi_h-\phi_S)} \sin(3\phi_h-\phi_S) \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + \sqrt{2\varepsilon(1+\varepsilon)} A_{UT}^{\sin\phi_S} \sin\phi_S + \sqrt{2\varepsilon(1+\varepsilon)} A_{UT}^{\sin(2\phi_h-\phi_S)} \sin(2\phi_h-\phi_S) \right] \\
 &+ S_T \lambda \left[\sqrt{(1-\varepsilon^2)} A_{LT}^{\cos(\phi_h-\phi_S)} \cos(\phi_h-\phi_S) \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + \sqrt{2\varepsilon(1-\varepsilon)} A_{LT}^{\cos\phi_S} \cos\phi_S + \sqrt{2\varepsilon(1-\varepsilon)} A_{LT}^{\cos(2\phi_h-\phi_S)} \cos(2\phi_h-\phi_S) \right] \left. \right\} \quad (1)
 \end{aligned}$$

where ε is the ratio of longitudinal and transverse photon fluxes and is given as $\varepsilon = (1 - y - \frac{1}{4}\gamma^2 y^2)/(1 - y + \frac{1}{2}y^2 + \frac{1}{4}\gamma^2 y^2)$; $\gamma = 2Mx/Q$. Target transverse polarization dependent part of this general expression contains eight azimuthal modulations in the ϕ_h and ϕ_S azimuthal angles of the produced hadron and of the nucleon spin, correspondingly (see Fig. 1). Each modulation leads to a $A_{BT}^{w_i(\phi_h, \phi_s)}$ Target-Spin-dependent Asymmetry (TSA) defined as a ratio of the associated structure function to the azimuth-independent ones. Here the superscript of the asymmetry indicates corresponding modulation, the first and the second subscripts - respective ("U"-unpolarized, "L"-longitudinal and "T"-transverse) polarization of beam and target. Five amplitudes which depend only on S_T are the Single-Spin Asymmetries (SSA), the other three which depend both on S_T and λ (beam longitudinal polarization) are known as Double-Spin Asymmetries (DSA). Amplitude of each modulation is scaled by a ε -dependent so-called depolarization factor. Using similar notations, the general form of the single-polarized (πN^\uparrow) Drell-Yan cross-section (leading order part) in terms of angular variables defined in Collins-Soper and target rest frames (see Fig. 1) can be written in the following model-independent way⁴:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \frac{d\sigma^{LO}}{d\Omega} &= \frac{\alpha_{em}^2}{Fq^2} F_U^1 \left\{ 1 + \cos^2\theta + \sin^2\theta A_U^{\cos 2\varphi_{CS}} \cos 2\varphi_{CS} \right. \\
 &\quad + S_T \left[(1 + \cos^2\theta) A_T^{\sin\varphi_S} \sin\varphi_S + \sin^2\theta A_T^{\sin(2\varphi_{CS}+\varphi_S)} \sin(2\varphi_{CS}+\varphi_S) \right. \\
 &\quad \left. \left. + \sin^2\theta A_T^{\sin(2\varphi_{CS}-\varphi_S)} \sin(2\varphi_{CS}-\varphi_S) \right] \right\} \quad (2)
 \end{aligned}$$

Similarly to the SIDIS case, the superscript of the asymmetry indicates the corresponding modulation, while "U", "L" and "T" denote the state of the target polarization. As one can see, in the Drell-Yan cross-section only one unpolarized and three target transverse spin dependent azimuthal modulations arise at leading order.

Within the QCD parton model approach four of the eight SIDIS TSAs have Leading Order (LO) interpretation (first three SSAs and first DSA in Eq. 1)^a and are described by the different convolutions of Transverse Momentum Dependent (TMD) twist-two Parton Distribution Functions (PDFs) and Fragmentation Functions (FFs)¹⁻⁷^b. The first two are well-known Sivers (gives access to "Sivers")

^aIn Eq. 1, Eq. 2 and Table. 1 the LO amplitudes are marked in red and subleading ones - in blue.

^bIn this review FFs in SIDIS and beam PDFs in Drell-Yan are not discussed for brevity.




Fig. 1. SIDIS and Drell-Yan frameworks and notations..

PDF $f_{1T}^{\perp q}$) and Collins (gives access to "transversity" PDF h_1^q) asymmetries^{8,9}. The other two $A_{UT}^{\sin(3\phi_h - \phi_s)}$ and $A_{LT}^{\cos(\phi_h - \phi_s)}$ LO TSAs are related to the $h_{1T}^{\perp q}$ (pretzelosity) and g_{1T}^q (worm-gear) DFs, correspondingly^{10–15,16,17}). The remaining four SIDIS asymmetries are suppressed with respect to the leading twist ones by $\sim M/Q$ and are subleading or *higher-twist* effects^{2,18,19}. However applying widely adopted so-called "Wandzura-Wilczek approximation" this higher twist objects can be simplified to twist-two level (see Refs. 2, 7 for more details). The whole set of eight SIDIS asymmetries has been already measured at COMPASS for both deuteron and proton targets (See Refs. 8–15 and references therein). Within the same QCD par-

Table 1. Nucleon TMD PDFs accessed via SIDIS and Drell-Yan TSAs.

SIDIS $\ell \rightarrow N^\uparrow$	TMD PDF	DY πN^\uparrow (LO)
$A_{UU}^{\cos 2\phi_h}, A_{UU}^{\cos \phi_h}$	$h_1^{\perp q}$	$A_U^{\cos 2\varphi_{CS}}$
$A_{UT}^{\sin(\phi_h - \phi_s)}, A_{UT}^{\sin \phi_s}, A_{UT}^{\sin(2\phi_h - \phi_s)}$	$f_{1T}^{\perp q}$	$A_T^{\sin \varphi_s}$
$A_{UT}^{\sin(\phi_h + \phi_s - \pi)}, A_{UT}^{\sin \phi_s}$	h_1^q	$A_T^{\sin(2\varphi_{CS} - \varphi_s)}$
$A_{UT}^{\sin(3\phi_h - \phi_s)}, A_{UT}^{\sin(2\phi_h - \phi_s)}$	$h_{1T}^{\perp q}$	$A_T^{\sin(2\varphi_{CS} + \varphi_s)}$
$A_{LT}^{\cos(\phi_h - \phi_s)}, A_{LT}^{\cos \phi_s}, A_{LT}^{\cos(2\phi_h - \phi_s)}$	g_{1T}^q	double-polarized DY

ton model approach, Drell-Yan TSAs are also interpreted in terms of TMD PDFs. In this case the asymmetries are related to the convolution of two TMD PDFs: one of the beam and one of the target hadron. Again, quoting only the target nucleon PDFs: the $A_T^{\sin \varphi_s}$, $A_T^{\sin(2\varphi_{CS} - \varphi_s)}$ and $A_T^{\sin(2\varphi_{CS} + \varphi_s)}$ give access to the "Sivers" $f_{1T}^{\perp q}$, "transversity" h_1^q and "pretzelosity" $h_{1T}^{\perp q}$, distribution functions, respectively. Within the concept of *generalized universality*^c of TMD PDFs it appears that same parton distributions functions can be accessed both in SIDIS and Drell-Yan (see the Table. 1 for the complete list). Therefore, DY measurements at COMPASS will be intriguingly complementary to the COMPASS SIDIS results and will give an unprecedented opportunity to access TMD PDFs via two mechanisms and test

^cTime-reversal modified process-independence.

4 *Bakur Parsamyan*

their universality and key features (for instance, predicted Sivers and Boer-Mulders PDFs sign change) using essentially same experimental setup.

2. COMPASS: SIDIS – Drell-Yan ”bridge”

During its first phase in 2002-2010 COMPASS has made series of SIDIS TSA measurements using 160 GeV/c longitudinally polarized muon beam and transversely polarized ${}^6\text{LiD}$ and NH_3 targets (See Refs. 8–15 and references therein). New measurements for TSAs, but this time with Drell-Yan reaction are foreseen in 2015 with 190 GeV/c π^- beam and transversely polarized NH_3 -target^{4,6}.

Certainly, both sets of COMPASS results from SIDIS and Drell-Yan will become a subject of global fits and phenomenological comparison. For this purpose the best option is to explore SIDIS data in more differential way extracting the asymmetries in the same four Q^2 kinematic regions (which implies also different x -coverage) which were selected for the COMPASS Drell-Yan measurement program^{4,6}:

- $1 < Q^2/(\text{GeV}/c)^2 < 4$ ”low mass” (3)
- $4 < Q^2/(\text{GeV}/c)^2 < 6.25$ ”intermediate mass”
- $6.25 < Q^2/(\text{GeV}/c)^2 < 16$ ”J/ψ mass”
- $Q^2/(\text{GeV}/c)^2 > 16$ ”High mass”.

In the left plot in Fig. 2 COMPASS SIDIS $x:Q^2$ kinematical phase-space is shown as divided in four ”Drell-Yan” Q^2 -ranges. Here the most promising for DY-studies is the so-called ”high mass” range which is expected to be free from background and corresponds to the valence-quark region where the Drell-Yan asymmetries are expected to reach their largest values^{4,6}. On the right plot COMPASS SIDIS $Q^2 > 1(\text{GeV}/c)^2$ and Drell-Yan ”high mass” overlapping distributions are demonstrated.




Fig. 2. COMPASS $x : Q^2$ phase-space with indicated four Drell-Yan Q^2 -ranges.

SIDIS TSAs extracted from all four aforementioned ” Q^2 -ranges”¹⁰ together with COMPASS recent results for multi-differential analysis¹¹ will serve not only for future SIDIS-DY comparison, but, exploring two-dimensional $x:Q^2$ -behaviour of the asymmetries, they can be used also as a better input for TMD-evolution studies and related SIDIS-DY predictions^{20,21,22}.

Results for Sivers asymmetry are presented in Fig. 3. A clear positive signal is observed for positive hadrons (growing with x , z and p_T). For negative hadrons some hints of a negative amplitude can be seen at lowest Q^2 -range for intermediate z values while at relatively large x and Q^2 there are indication for a positive signal.





Fig. 3. SIDIS Sivers asymmetry from Drell-Yan Q^2 -ranges.

Comparing points from same x -bins, but different Q^2 -ranges one can see that within statistical accuracy there is no clear and strong Q^2 -dependence for the effect. Nevertheless, decreasing with Q^2 trend can be noted in some bins which has been confirmed also by the recent more detailed multidimensional analysis ¹¹. It is interesting to mention that for planned Drell-Yan measurements Sivers asymmetry in the "high mass"-range is expected to have approximately same statistical accuracy as it's SIDIS-analogue extracted from COMPASS proton-2010 data ($\approx 1\%$). This should be enough to address the Sivers function "sign-change" challenge and possibly also to study kinematical dependences of the effect in several bins ^{20,21,22}. Even if Sivers effect in Drell-Yan is a flagship measurement for COMPASS-II, other TSAs and their links with SIDIS "analogues" from Table. 1 are also very important for general TMD PDF-studies. In the Fig. 4 mean values for all eight SIDIS-TSAs are quoted as measured in four Drell-Yan Q^2 -ranges.

6 Bakur Parsamyan


 Fig. 4. Mean SIDIS TSAs in four Drell-Yan Q^2 -ranges (left to right)

3. Conclusions

In 2015 COMPASS experiment will start collecting first ever transversely polarized Drell-Yan data. Recently COMPASS has provided first input for future direct SIDIS-DY studies. Using COMPASS proton 2010 transvers data all eight SIDIS TSAs were extracted from four Q^2 -ranges selected for the COMPASS Drell-Yan program. These results combined with future polarized Drell-Yan data from COMPASS will give a unique opportunity to access TMD PDFs via two processes and test their universality and key features sticking to the same $x:Q^2$ kinematical range.

Bakur Parsamyan undertook this work with the support of the ICTP TRIL Programme, Trieste, Italy.

References

1. A. Kotzinian, *Nucl. Phys. B* **441**, 234 (1995) [[arXiv:hep-ph/9412283](#)].
2. A. Bacchetta *et al.* *JHEP* **0702**, 093 (2007) [[arXiv:hep-ph/0611265](#)].
3. M. Diehl and S. Sapeta, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **41**, 515 (2005) [[arXiv:hep-ph/0503023](#)].
4. COMPASS Collab. F. Gautheron *et al.* CERN-SPSC-2010-014.
5. C. Quintans, *PoS DIS* **2014** (2014) 240.
6. M. Quaresma, *EPJ Web Conf.* **81** (2014) 04011.
7. P. J. Mulders and R. D. Tangerman, *Nucl. Phys. B* **484**, 538 (1997) [[arXiv:hep-ph/9510301](#)].
8. COMPASS Collab. C. Adolph *et al.* *Phys. Lett. B* **717**, 376 (2012) [[arXiv:1205.5121](#)].
9. COMPASS Collab. C. Adolph *et al.* *Phys. Lett. B* **717**, 383 (2012) [[arXiv:1205.5122](#)].
10. B. Parsamyan, *EPJ Web Conf.* **85** (2015) 02019 [[arXiv:1411.1568](#)].
11. B. Parsamyan, TBP in *Int. J. Mod. Phys. Conf. Ser.* [[arXiv:1504.01599](#)].
12. B. Parsamyan, *Phys. Part. Nucl.* **45**, 158 (2014) [[arXiv:1301.6615](#)].
13. B. Parsamyan, *PoS DIS* **2013**, 231 (2013) [[arXiv:1307.0183](#)].
14. B. Parsamyan, *J. Phys. Conf. Ser.* **295**, 012046 (2011) [[arXiv:1012.0155](#)].
15. B. Parsamyan, *Eur. Phys. J. ST* **162**, 89 (2008) [[arXiv:0709.3440](#)].
16. M. Anselmino *et al.* *Phys. Rev. D* **73**, 114017 (2006) [[arXiv:hep-ph/0603194](#)].
17. A. Kotzinian *et al.* *Phys. Rev. D* **74**, 074015 (2006) [[arXiv:hep-ph/0608048](#)].
18. W. Mao, Z. Lu and B. Q. Ma, *Phys. Rev. D* **90** (2014) 1, 014048 [[arXiv:1405.3876](#)].
19. W. Mao *et al.* *Phys. Rev. D* **91** (2015) 3, 034029 [[arXiv:1412.7390](#)].
20. S. M. Aybat *et al.* *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **108** (2012) 242003 [[arXiv:1112.4423](#)].
21. M. G. Echevarria *et al.* *Phys. Rev. D* **89**, 074013 (2014) [[arXiv:1401.5078](#)].
22. P. Sun and F. Yuan, *Phys. Rev. D* **88**, no. 11, 114012 (2013) [[arXiv:1308.5003](#)].