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#### Abstract

In this work we present the measurement of the transverse target spin asymmetry in the exclusive $\rho^{0}$ production using the high energy 160 GeV muon beam and the COMPASS spectrometer at CERN. This will constitute an essential step to get information about Generalized Parton Distributions.


## 1 Physics Motivations

Exclusive vector meson production has played an important role in studying strong interaction and gained a renewed interest, as it can give access to Generalized Parton Distributions (GPDs) and thus to a wealth of information on the nucleon structure. Moreover it was pointed out that vector meson production on a transversely polarised target is sensitive to the nucleon helicity-flip GPD $E[1,2]$. This GPD offers unique views on the orbital angular momentum carried by partons in the proton through the Ji sum rule[3] and on the correlation between polarisation and spatial distribution of partons [4].

Exclusive $\rho^{0}$ production off the proton has been carried out in 2007 at CERN by the COMPASS collaboration using the high energy 160 GeV muon beam and the transversely polarised $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ target. The studied reaction is $\mu+N \rightarrow \mu^{\prime}+\rho^{0}+N^{\prime}$ where $N$ is a quasi-free proton from the $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ polarised material. The reaction can be described in terms of the virtual photoproduction process $\gamma^{*}+N \rightarrow \rho^{0}+N^{\prime}$.

In the limit of large $Q^{2}$ at fixed $x_{B}$ and small momentum transfer $t$, the $\gamma^{*} p$ amplitude factorizes into the convolution of a hard-scattering subprocess with GPDs in the nucleon and the light-cone distribution amplitude of the produced mesons. The factorization theorem [5, $6]$ shows that the leading transitions in the large $Q^{2}$ limit have both the virtual photon and the produced meson longitudinally polarised, all other transitions being suppressed by at least one power of $1 / Q$.

## 2 Selection of exclusive $\rho^{0}$ production

The COMPASS experiment uses the $160 \mathrm{GeV} / \mathrm{c}$ polarised muon beam of the CERN SPS. Muons are scattered off transversely polarised nucleons in a three-cell solid-state $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ target. The three cells are polarised in opposite directions and polarization is reversed frequently. The scattered particles and the decay products of the $\rho$ are detected in two high resolution magnetic spectrometers [7]. For an event to be selected we require incident and scattered muon tracks with only two additional tracks, which correspond to charged pions from the decay of the $\rho^{0}$. A cut on the invariant mass of two pions, $\left|M_{\pi \pi}-M_{\rho^{0}}\right|<0.3 \mathrm{GeV} / c^{2}$, is applied to identify the $\rho^{0}$ (Fig.1. upper left plot). In order to select exclusive events as the slow recoiling target particles are not detected, we use cuts on the missing energy, $-2.5<E_{\text {miss }}<2.5, \mathrm{GeV}$ (Fig.1. upper right plot) and on the transverse momentum of $\rho^{0}$ with respect to the virtual photon direction, $p_{T}^{2}<0.5(\mathrm{GeV} / c)^{2}$, (Fig.1. bottom plot).

Here $E_{\text {miss }}=\left(M_{X}^{2}-M_{p}^{2}\right) / 2 M_{p}$ where $M_{X}$ is the mass of the undetected system and $M_{p}$ the proton mass. Coherent interactions on the target nuclei are removed by a cut $p_{T}^{2}>0.05$ $(\mathrm{GeV} / c)^{2}$. After all selections the 2007 data sample consists of about 223000 events, with $Q^{2}>1(\mathrm{GeV} / c)^{2}$. Distributions of $x_{B j}$ and $Q^{2}$ for the full 2007 data sample are presented in Fig.2. The remaining non-exclusive background in the whole sample is about $30 \%$ and will be rejected in a further step.



Figure 1: Distributions of invariant mass $M_{\pi^{+} \pi^{-}}$(upper left plot), missing energy $E_{\text {miss }}$ (upper right plot), and transverse momentum of $\rho^{0}$ with respect to the virtual photon direction $p_{T}^{2}$ (bottom plot).


Figure 2: Distributions of $x_{B j}$ (left plot) and $Q^{2}$ (right plot) for the full 2007 data sample.

## 3 Transverse target spin asymmetry

Counting rates are expressed as a function of the angle $\left(\phi-\phi_{S}\right)$ between the spin of the target and the production plane (Fig. 3). The transverse target spin asymmetry is then defined by $A_{\mathrm{UT}}^{\sin \left(\phi-\phi_{S}\right)}=\frac{\sigma\left(\phi-\phi_{S}\right)-\sigma\left(\phi-\phi_{S}+\pi\right)}{\sigma\left(\phi-\phi_{S}\right)+\sigma\left(\phi-\phi_{S}+\pi\right)}$ and represents the asymmetry of the cross section between the $\left(\phi-\phi_{S}\right)$ direction and the opposite one $\left(\phi-\phi_{S}+\pi\right)$.


Figure 3: Definition of $\phi\left(\equiv \phi_{h}\right)$ and $\phi_{S}$ for the exclusive $\rho^{0}$ production
With the three cells of the COMPASS target and the two polarization configurations, we have six sets of data for each bin of $\left(\phi-\phi_{S}\right)$. To cancel systematic effects, we extract the asymmetry from a double ratio [8] of counting rates:

$$
\begin{align*}
r & =\frac{\left(N_{u p}^{+}\left(\phi-\phi_{S}\right)+N_{\text {down }}^{+}\left(\phi-\phi_{S}\right)\right) \cdot N_{\text {middle }}^{+}\left(\phi-\phi_{S}\right)}{\left(N_{u p}^{-}\left(\phi-\phi_{S}+\pi\right)+N_{\text {down }}^{-}\left(\phi-\phi_{S}+\pi\right)\right) \cdot N_{\text {middle }}^{-}\left(\phi-\phi_{S}+\pi\right)} \\
& =\frac{\left(1+f<P_{T}>A_{\mathrm{UT}}^{\sin \left(\phi-\phi_{S}\right)} \cdot \sin \left(\phi-\phi_{S}\right)\right)^{2}}{\left(1-f<P_{T}>A_{\mathrm{UT}}^{\sin \left(\phi-\phi_{S}\right)} \cdot \sin \left(\phi-\phi_{S}\right)\right)^{2}} \tag{1}
\end{align*}
$$

where up (or down or middle) refers to the target cell, + (or - ) refers to the target polarisation, $f$ is the dilution factor and $\left\langle P_{T}\right\rangle$ the average target polarisation. Then we extract the asymmetry $A_{\mathrm{UT}}^{\sin \left(\phi-\phi_{S}\right)}$ by fitting the ratio $r$.

## Results and future steps in analysis

The asymmetry $A_{\mathrm{UT}}^{\sin \left(\phi-\phi_{S}\right)}$ is found consistent with zero with the $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ (proton) target in the investigated $Q^{2}, x_{B j}$ and $p_{T}^{2}$ range (Fig. 4). In a previous analysis of the 2002-03-04 data sample using on a transversely polarized $\mathrm{Li}^{6} \mathrm{D}$ (deuteron) target without coherent rejection, the asymmetry $A_{\mathrm{UT}}^{\sin \left(\phi-\phi_{S}\right)}$ was also found to be compatible with zero (Fig. 5). Cancellation between proton and neutron was thus expected, and the new results on a proton target only show that the genuine asymmetry on the proton is also rather small, consistent with zero within the error bars.


Figure 4: Transverse target spin asymmetries for proton data as a function of $Q^{2}$ (left), $x_{b j}$ (middle) and $p_{T}^{2}$ (right)


Figure 5: Transverse target spin asymmetries for deuteron data as a function of $Q^{2}$ (left), $x_{b j}$ (middle) and $p_{T}$ (right)

The HERMES collaboration [10] has also measured this asymmetry with a proton target and obtained the same result within similar accuracy. Theoretical predictions have been done by Goloskokov and Kroll for $\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{UT}}^{\sin \left(\phi-\phi_{s}\right)}$. A value of -0.02 is predicted for $\rho$ production on the proton while it is higher around -0.1 for $\omega$ production [11, 12, 13].

To complete the analysis, the non-exclusive background has to be subtracted. Moreover longitudinal and transverse virtual photon contributions have to be separated. A detailed method to extract the asymmetry for longitudinal photons was proposed by Diehl and Sapeta [9]: the contributions of longitudinal and transverse $\rho^{0}$ can be estimated from the angular distribution of pions in the $\rho^{0}$ decay. By assuming the s-channel helicity conservation, we can determine the contribution from longitudinal photons. This final step of the analysis needs both background and acceptance correction, based on a complete simulation will be performed.
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